# Today's topic:

- Preparing for presentations
- Phonological information in adult silent reading

Background:

• Rayner, Sereno, Lesch, & Pollatsek (1995), "Phono. codes are automatically activated..."

Tu Feb 20

#### 0. Today's key points

\*\* For today, please sit with your article group \*\*

- Check-in: Roles and guidelines for presentations
- Research article discussion: Rayner et al. (1995)
  - Role of phonological code in adult silent reading?
- Examples of preparation and presentation content for research article discussions

# 1. Article presentations

- Any questions about **roles** for group presentations?
- A **note** about roles (1)/(3) and (2)/(4)
  - Some articles have multiple experiments
  - Other articles have one large set of results, but use them to answer multiple research questions
  - Please divide the "design" and "results" roles in a way that works for your article

# 1. Article presentations

- Article presentation weeks have this structure:
  - Pre-presentation preparation on **Th**
  - Presentation + follow-up discussion on **Tu**
- Today's discussion will demo some of the points to address in preparation and presentations

Thinking about article presentations

- On each preparation day (before a presentation), we will have class / group discussion on points like:
  - Important **background concepts** behind the research questions or experiment methods
  - The **big-picture research questions** identifying and motivating them
  - The **statistical analysis** used in the article, and what the statistical results would mean

*Here is some content for a preparation day* 

- When we looked at visual text processing, we ended on this question:
   How does seeing a written word activate the appropriate information in memory?
  - Via **sound codes** (phonemes, syllables)?
  - Via **orthographic codes** (letter categories)?
- Today's article addresses this question
  - Rayner, Sereno, Lesch, & Pollatsek (1995),
    "Phonological codes are automatically activated during reading" [full citation] [article link (UNC)]

- Review: Why are researchers interested in duration of fixation on words during reading?
  - Fixation duration measures ...
- What are some **factors** that are known to affect fixation duration on a word?
  - From Rayner et al (2012) chapter on eye movement:

- Review: Why are researchers interested in duration of fixation on words during reading?
  - Fixation duration measures **processing time**
- What are some **factors** that are known to affect fixation duration on a word?
  - From Rayner et al (2012) chapter on eye movement:
    - The **frequency** of the word
    - Letter shape info from **parafoveal preview**

- What is the structure of a **priming study**?
  - prime —
  - target —
- A priming effect is when ...
  - If there is a priming effect, it is **evidence** that ...

- What is the structure of a **priming study**?
  - **prime** the "clue"
  - **target** what participants must respond to
- A priming effect is when response time to the target is affected by priming
  - If there is a priming effect, it is **evidence** that the prime **activates** the target in memory
    - Activation can be *positive* (helps) or *negative* (interferes)
    - Different kinds of priming can facilitate or interfere with retrieving the target from memory
    - We will be looking at positive (helpful) activation

- Here is a short video that explains priming in psychology experiments
  - "Priming", by Rebekah Bainbridge (YouTube)
    [link]

 What would a priming effect look like (numerically) in an eye-tracking study?

## 3. Research questions

Content for the group presentation

#### **Group discussion**

- What **measurable research questions** were addressed by Rayner et al. (1995)?
  - Make sure you give the measurable research questions in a **quantitative** form: "Is *A* larger than *B*? Does *Y* increase when *X* increases?"
  - Hint: You can check for a hypothesis or prediction stated by the authors if the measurable RQs are hard to figure out

Content for the preparation day discussion

# **Group discussion**

- What is (are) the **big-picture research question(s)** addressed by the article?
  - What prior findings or background information motivate these?
  - How do the measurable RQs **relate** to the bigpicture RQ?

Moving from preparation day to presentation day:

# **Group discussion**

- What were the **conditions** in the experiment?
  - Reminder: These should follow from the measurable research questions
- What was the **methodology**?
  - **Participants** and **task**?
  - Materials?
    - Were there filler/distractor items?

#### 4. Experiment design

- What was shown in the CWL before the boundary was crossed?
  - Why was this important?

When describing experiment design in the presentation

- Show examples of aspects like experiment conditions, experiment stimuli, tasks — whatever is relevant to communicate key aspects of the design
  - What are some things that would be useful to show for this article?

When describing experiment design in the presentation

- Show examples of aspects like experiment conditions, experiment stimuli, tasks — whatever is relevant to communicate key aspects of the design
  - What are some things that would be useful to show for this article?
    - The conditions (3 dimensions!)
    - An example sequence: random string, prime, target in CWL within sentence (see Appendix for actual stimuli)

#### 4. Experiment design

- This article only has **one experiment** 
  - What would be a useful way to split the discussion between **two presenters** for design and results?

*Preparation day discussion — Statistical analysis* 

- Statistical analysis method used in this paper:
  - ANOVA
    - main effect
    - interaction

*Preparation day discussion — Statistical analysis* 

- Statistical analysis method used in this paper:
  - **ANOVA** are means in groups with multiple crossed factors same/different?
    - **main effect:** this predictor matters when the categories of the other predictor are combined
    - **interaction:** the effect of one predictor differs based on the value of the other
  - Here is an example: "<u>Understanding Interaction</u> <u>Effects in Statistics</u>", by Jim Frost


• Does this article have any **data graphics**?


- Does this article have any **data graphics**?
  - No a data table (organized numbers) is not the same thing as a data graphic (graph, plot, diagram that represents the numbers)
- What are some tips for making a data graphic from a data table?

#### Some tips for making data graphics


- What information from the data table answers the research question you want to address?
  - Set up your data graphic to focus on this
- What **type** of data graphic is best?
  - When might we use a **bar graph**? A scatterplot? Other types of graphics?
  - Refer to the Kaplan (2012) Appendix reading for some examples
- Keep the graphic visually simple and informative

• Example **bar graphs** for data from the article






- These graphics show "modified" durations why might this be a reasonable choice?
- Which differences between conditions were significant?




- Which differences were **significant**?
  - Main effect: prime duration, type
  - Interaction: prime duration × type (by subjects)
  - Prime lexicality (word/pseudo) never mattered
  - See text for crucial comparisons of **H** and **VS**

- When presenting a data graphic:
  - **Decode** Explain what the axes, categories, symbols, etc. stand for
    - Relate this to the measurable RQs
  - **Interpret** Tell the audience what pattern to notice
    - Is something increasing/decreasing? Are certain categories similar/different?

• Another way to graph results from this article





(graphics show "modified" durations)

- **Decode**: What are the axes and categories?
- **Interpret**: What is important to see here?

# 7. Discussion / conclusions / implications

- How do the results relate to...
  - the measurable research questions?
  - the big-picture research questions?

# 7. Discussion / conclusions / implications

Some additional points for discussion

- What do the authors argue that this study shows about a possible role for phonological information?
  - Why is the comparison between **word** vs. **pseudoword** homophones important?
- Are you convinced by the authors' claims?
- Other questions/comments? Is there anything that you would like to see in a follow-up study?

# 8. More tips for article presentations

#### Citations

- What should be included for **citations** in your presentation slides?
  - This is something of a special case: All information being presented is from the same article (except for the group's commentary)
- How do we **refer to** the authors (or the article)?

#### 8. More tips for article presentations

- What should be included for **citations** in your presentation slides?
  - Citation at the start: What article are you discussing?
  - Page # citation for any definitions, stimuli, graphics, etc., taken from the article
  - Bibliographic citation as final slide
- How do we **refer to** the authors (or the article)?
  - Rayner, Sereno, Lesch, & Pollatsek (1995)
  - Rayner et al. (1995) [when >2 authors]

Interactive activities

- Note that it's fine to have the class discuss/figure out some of the core content of the presentation as part of a discussion
  - Just be sure to summarize/clarify the core content after the discussion is over

#### 9. For next time

- We will do the pre-presentation preparation discussion for the **Group 1** article
  - Use the handout on preparing for article discussions to help you get ready for discussion
- The first assignment for the individual component of the article interpretation/presentation assignment is due **Th Feb 22** on Canvas
  - Groups will need to decide on roles!