# Today's topic:

# Pre-presentation discussion: AoA effects in skilled readers

Background:

• Juhasz, Gullick, & Shesler (2011), "The effects of age-of-acquisition on ambiguity resolution..."

Th Feb 29

## 0. Course info and announcements

- Article presentation on Tu Mar 5
  - Check-in with **Group 2** members
  - Reminder: See presentation assignment handout, linked from <u>Daily syllabus</u> or <u>Links for</u> <u>assignments</u> web page
  - Reminder: A score of '5' on the self and peer evaluation form should be for something **really** exceptional
- Any questions or comments?

# 0. Key points today

- Quick background review
  - Decoding vs. comprehension
  - Fixation duration
- Article background and key concepts
  - Age of acquisition (of words)
  - Lexical ambiguity / effects in text processing
- Big-picture research questions
- Statistical analysis
- Some notes on presentations

#### **Padlet question:**

- "Does phonics-based decoding actually help children understand the meaning of the word? Or is it only useful for learning pronunciation?"
  - Discussion?

- Review: The "simple view" of reading
  *R* = *D* × *C* | Reading is the product of (written-symbol) decoding and (spoken-language) comprehension
  - Decoding known vs. unknown words?
  - What are some factors besides letters and sounds we might expect to matter in reading?

Gough, Philip B., and William E. Tunmer. 1986. Decoding, reading, and reading disability. *Remedial and Special Education* 7 (1): 6–10. [link]

Hoover, Wesley A., and Philip B. Gough. 1990. The simple view of reading. *Reading and Writing* 2 (2): 127–160. [link]

## **Group discussion**

Take a sheet of paper and write quick answers to these:

- Review: Why are researchers interested in duration of fixation on words during reading?
  - Hint: Fixation duration measures ...
- What are some **factors** that are known to affect fixation duration on a word?
  - Hint: We've talked about different factors on two different days in class so far

#### **Group discussion**

- Take about 1 minute to write down one of the research questions from Juhasz, Gullick, & Shesler (2011) without looking at the article
  - Either a big-picture or a measurable RQ

- Review: Why are researchers interested in duration of fixation on words during reading?
  - Fixation duration measures **processing time**
- What are some **factors** that are known to affect fixation duration on a word?
  - From Rayner et al (2012) chapter on eye movement:
    - The **frequency** of the word
    - Letter shape info from parafoveal preview
  - From Rayner et al (2005) research article:
    - Homophone and orthographic **priming**

- Juhasz, Barbara J., Margaret M. Gullick, & Leah W. Shesler. 2011. The effects of age-of-acquisition on ambiguity resolution: Evidence from eye movements. *Journal of Eye Movement Research*, 4(1): 4, 1–14. [https://doi.org/10.16910/jemr.4.1.4]
  - <u>Article link</u> (open access)

- What is **age of acquisition (AoA)** of a lexical item?
  - How was AoA determined for the words in the experiments in this article?
  - Any concerns about this methodology?
  - Why do you think the researchers thought this approach was reasonable?

- Some past experiments have seemed to show
  AoA effects on processing time
  - Is it **early** AoA or **late** AoA words that are apparently processed more quickly?
  - What factor do we have to control for in order to distinguish it from AoA effects?
  - Why do Juhasz et al. (2011) argue that we need more information to understand how AoA effects work?

- Processing advantage for early AoA words
- Early AoA words tend to be high **frequency** need to control for frequency
- Why we need to know more
  - Earlier experiment where early/late AoA words were controlled for frequency: still differed in
    - meaning
    - spelling
    - phonology

So, where does the AoA advantage come from?

How/why does AoA affect processing?

- Semantic locus hypothesis
  - Words acquired *earlier* may develop semantic connections to *more* words and concepts
  - This would make it likely for them to become activated in many situations/contexts

How/why does AoA affect processing?

- Network plasticity hypothesis
  - Plasticity = ability to change (of the neural system)
  - Words acquired earlier may be better encoded in the lexicon (Q: what does 'better' mean?)
    - Predicted to affect all levels of lexical representation: meaning, phonology, orthography, etc.

- We talked earlier about structural ambiguity
  Ingrid saw the Martian with a telescope
  - Two possible **structures** corresponding to the same string of words  $\rightarrow$  <u>two different meanings</u>
- Is this the same kind of **ambiguity** discussed by Juhasz et al. (2011)?

- Lexical ambiguity
  - Two possible **lexical entries** corresponding to the same **form**  $\rightarrow$  <u>two different meanings</u>
    - *Lexical entry:* Word/morpheme stored in the mental lexicon
    - Form: Phonology (sound) and/or orthography (writing), depending on how the word is encountered

- Consider this lexically ambiguous noun: *bank* 
  - What lexical entries correspond to this form?

- Consider this lexically ambiguous noun: *bank* 
  - What lexical entries correspond to this form?
- Which of these are **disambiguating** contexts?
  - Disambiguating region: **Precedes** or **follows**?

a. I knew how to spell <u>bank</u> when I was six.

b. The helicopter landed on the <u>bank</u> to airlift the patient.

c. They cashed some checks at the <u>bank</u> after lunch.

d. We couldn't see the <u>bank</u> of the river through the fog.

- From the article: What is the difference between...?
  - **biased** ambiguous words
  - **balanced** ambiguous words

- Past results on **processing time** / ambiguous words
  - *Sentence:* neutral + AMBIG + <u>disambiguating</u>
    - BALANCED vs. control word?
    - BIASED vs. control word?
    - Disambiguating region vs. post-target for control?
    - BIASED:disambig/SUB vs. BALANCED:disambig?
  - Sentence: disambiguating + AMBIG + ...
    - BALANCED vs. control word?
    - BIASED vs. control word if disambig/DOM?
    - BIASED vs. control word if disambig/SUB? (surprising?)

- Past results on **processing time** / ambiguous words
  - *Sentence:* neutral + AMBIG + <u>disambiguating</u>
    - BALANCED > control word
    - BIASED = control word
    - Disambiguating region > post-target for control
    - BIASED:disambig/SUB > BALANCED:disambig
  - Sentence: disambiguating + AMBIG + ...
    - BALANCED = control word
    - BIASED = control word if disambig/DOM
    - BIASED > control word if disambig/SUB
- When are ambiguous words *not* so hard to process?

- *Not* so hard to process
  - Biased/dominant in neutral context
  - Balanced in disambig context
  - Biased/dominant in disambig context

What **factors** seem to give processing a **boost**?

- Compare *harder* to process
  - Balanced in neutral context
  - Biased/subordinate in neutral context
  - Biased/subordinate in disambig context (!)

- *Not* so hard to process
  - Biased/dominant, neutral context | frequency
  - Balanced, disambig context | context
  - Biased/dominant, disambig context | (both?)

What **factors** seem to give processing a **boost**?

- Compare *harder* to process
  - Balanced in neutral context
  - Biased/subordinate in neutral context
  - Biased/subordinate in disambig context (!)

# 3. Research questions

#### Discussion

• **Big-picture research questions**?

- What are **outliers**?
  - Why are they a concern?
  - Can we ignore ('remove") them?

- Statistical analysis in this paper (Juhasz et al. 2011: 5; emphasis added)
  - "Paired-sample t-tests were used to analyze dependent measures on the ambiguous word in the early-acquired meaning condition and the late-acquired meaning condition."
    - t-test
    - dependent measures

- Statistical analysis in this paper (Juhasz et al. 2011: 5; emphasis added)
  - "Paired-sample t-tests were used to analyze dependent measures on the ambiguous word in the early-acquired meaning condition and the late-acquired meaning condition."
    - **t-test** are means in groups same/different?
    - **dependent measures** the measured values (that may be different between conditions)
- If you're interested, see <u>VassarStats</u> for more on t-tests

- Statistical analysis in this paper (Juhasz et al. 2011: 5; emphasis added)
  - "Analyses were computed by participants (t<sub>1</sub>) and by items (t<sub>2</sub>)."
    - by participants —

• by items —

- Statistical analysis in this paper (Juhasz et al. 2011: 5; emphasis added)
  - "Analyses were computed by participants (t<sub>1</sub>) and by items (t<sub>2</sub>)."
    - **by participants** A significant result means the results should generalize to the (relevant) population
    - by items A significant result means the results should generalize to other (relevant) words

- Statistical analysis in this paper (Juhasz et al. 2011: 8; emphasis added)
  - "The data from the two experiments was combined into a 2 x 2 ANOVA, with the first factor being [...] and the second factor being [...]."
  - ANOVA
    - main effect:
    - interaction:

- Statistical analysis in this paper (Juhasz et al. 2011: 8; emphasis added)
  - "The data from the two experiments was combined into a 2 x 2 ANOVA, with the first factor being [...] and the second factor being [...]."
  - **ANOVA** are means in groups with multiple crossed factors same/different?
    - **main effect:** this predictor matters when the categories of the other predictor are combined
    - **interaction:** the effect of one predictor differs based on the value of the other

- ANOVA analyses are frequently observed in psycholinguistics research papers
  - Here is an example: "<u>Understanding Interaction</u> <u>Effects in Statistics</u>", by Jim Frost

 If you're interested, see <u>VassarStats</u> for more on ANOVA (Analysis Of Variance)

#### 5. Some notes on presentations

- Some opportunities to collaborate
  - Activity leader: check in with person whose section you want to put an activity in
  - Work together where needed to link results to research questions
  - If multiple experiments use same / similar materials, the two Design presenters can decide how to divide the discussion
- Individual slides due Mon 11:59pm
  - You might want to collaborate before that!

#### 5. Some notes on presentations

- Reminders about presenting **results**
  - Data graphic: Parse and decode  $\rightarrow$  **talk** about the graphic (not just words on screen)
  - When summarizing results, be clear about what was statistically significant (and, if relevant, what was not)

#### 6. For next time

- Group 2: Article presentation
  - Use today's discussion to help you with the stage-setting parts of your presentation
- Everyone else:
  - Support your classmates by coming prepared for the discussion they will lead!
  - Do your best to **read** and **understand** :
    - Measurable RQs
    - Experiment design
    - Results and discussion