

- **Child language acquisition**
- **Acquisition of phonology**

Background reading:

- *CL Ch 9, chapter intro*
- *CL Ch 9, §1, “The study of language acquisition”*
- *CL Ch 9, §2, “Phonological development”*

1. Linguistics as natural science

- Scientific investigation:
 - Observe **data** (phenomena in the natural world)
 - Build a **model** to describe, understand the data
 - **Test** the model:
 - What predictions does it make?
 - What happens when we test those predictions against new data?
 - Is the model supported?
 - Or does it need to be changed?

1. Linguistics as natural science

In linguistics:

- **Data** = human language behavior
 - **Naturalistic** — observe what naturally occurs
 - How people speak and understand
 - The environments where segments occur
 - (etc.)
 - **Experimental** — create situations, collect responses
 - Ask speakers for grammaticality judgments
 - Lab experiments (production, perception)
 - (etc.)

1. Linguistics as natural science

- We want to build a model of both
 - the **mental grammar** of the speakers of a certain language, specifically
 - the **range of possibilities** of human mental grammars, in general
- Our current model includes
 - sound **properties** (building blocks of segments)
 - **phonemes** (stored in memory; mental)
 - **allophones** (pronounced; physical)
 - **rules** to produce allophones in specific contexts

1. Linguistics as natural science

- Some things linguists hope to achieve by doing research on child language:
 - Can **applying our model** of mental grammar help us **explain** what happens during children's acquisition of their native language(s)?
 - Can **data** from children's developing language systems help us **test our model** of mental grammar?

2. Where child language acquisition fits in

- **A key idea:** “Learning” a native language is not the same as learning to do math or ride a bike
 - This is why the term **acquisition**, not “learning,” is typically used for this process
- Children acquire their native language relatively **easily** and **quickly** (compared to adult language learning)
- Children do **not** acquire language by having their parents explicitly “teach” it to them
 - More about this in a later class

2. Where child language acquisition fits in

- In our model of human language, we propose:

Children acquire language through contact between

- the **language data** in the environment
- the (universal) language acquisition mechanism of the **mental grammar**

2. Where child language acquisition fits in

- **Adults** can speak and understand their native language(s) because they have a **lexicon** and **mental grammar** of that language
 - How do these two pieces of the system relate to predictable and unpredictable information?

2. Where child language acquisition fits in

- **Adults** can speak and understand their native language(s) because they have a **lexicon** and **mental grammar** of that language
 - **lexicon** — where sounds, meaning, and other unpredictable information are stored for each word (or morpheme; more on this in *CL* Ch 4)
 - **mental grammar** — rules and principles that handle predictable / systematic patterns, including phonology

2. Where child language acquisition fits in

- **Adults** can speak and understand their native language(s) because they have a **lexicon** and **mental grammar** of that language
- How would a child acquiring a native language (first language; L1) get to this **target** adult state?
 - **lexicon** (*unpredictable info*):
 - **mental grammar** (*rules for predictable info*):

2. Where child language acquisition fits in

- **Adults** can speak and understand their native language(s) because they have a **lexicon** and **mental grammar** of that language
- How would a child acquiring a native language (first language; L1) get to this **target** adult state?
 - **lexicon**: unpredictable information must be learned and stored
 - **mental grammar**: How does this develop?
 - Any (normally developing) infant has the potential to develop the mental grammar of any language

2. Where child language acquisition fits in

- Proposal:
 - Infants all start out with their mental grammar at the same (**universal**) original/default settings:
“Universal Grammar” (**UG**)
 - When infants are exposed to language data, they will begin to develop the mental grammar needed to produce and comprehend a **particular** adult language (the **target** language)

In this model, we can analyze each stage of a child’s developing mental grammar with the **same tools we use for adult languages**

2. Where child language acquisition fits in

- A child in the process of acquiring a language goes through different **stages** of development
 - These stages reflect **intermediate mental grammars** on the way to the adult grammar
- A child often shows **variable** behavior
 - A rule may be applied only some of the time
 - Multiple versions of a rule may be in use
- But we can still find a great deal of **systematicity** in children's language behavior

2. Where child language acquisition fits in

- We can consider data about the **stages** children typically go through in developing their phonological system
 - Does our **model** of mental grammar help us understand this **data**?
 - Does this **data** help us test predictions and evaluate our **model** of the mental grammar?
- Note: The stages described here (especially the representative ages given) are average or general patterns; individual children may be somewhat different

3. Early stages of phonological development

- *Data:* **Distinguishing** different speech sounds
 - 6-8 months: Infants can distinguish among almost all of the sound categories used in the world's languages
 - 10-12 months: Infants now have difficulty distinguishing sound categories that are **not contrastive** in their target language
- *Analysis:* What does this change suggest about the child's mental grammar?

3. Early stages of phonological development

- *Data:* **Distinguishing** different speech sounds
 - 10-12 months: Infants now have difficulty distinguishing sound categories that are **not contrastive** in their target language
- *Analysis:* This developmental change is evidence for the beginning of a **language-specific phonological grammar**
 - Children at this stage are developing an inventory of contrastive sounds (**phonemes**)

3. Early stages of phonological development

- *Data:* **Babbling** — approximately 6 to 12 months
 - In babbling, an infant makes syllable-like noises that don't mean anything (they are not words)
 - This seems to be a kind of practice with articulation (and perception?) before the child's production of words begins

3. Early stages of phonological development

- *Data: Babbling* — approximately 6 to 12 months
 - The **most frequent consonants** used in babbling are very **consistent** even for babies acquiring different languages

Table 9.1 from *CL*, p 353 | What generalizations can we make?

Cross-linguistic similarities in babbling

<i>Frequently found</i>	<i>Infrequently found</i>
p b m	f v θ ð
t d n	ʃ ʒ tʃ dʒ
k g	l r ŋ
s h w j	

3. Early stages of phonological development

Table 9.1 from *CL*, p 353 | What generalizations can we make?

Cross-linguistic similarities in babbling

<i>Frequently found</i>	<i>Infrequently found</i>
p b m	f v θ ð
t d n	ʃ ʒ tʃ dʒ
k g	<i>l r</i> ŋ
s h <i>w j</i>	

- **Labials** are common
- Oral and nasal **stops** are common, except [ŋ]
- **Fricatives** are rare, except [s, h]
- *Liquids* are rare but **glides** are common

3. Early stages of phonological development

- *Data:* **Babbling** — approximately 6 to 12 months
 - The **most frequent consonants** used in babbling are very **consistent** across languages
 - The most frequent consonants used are also frequent sounds in **adult** languages
- *Analysis:* How can we explain these connections?

3. Early stages of phonological development

- *Data:* **Babbling** — approximately 6 to 12 months
 - The **most frequent consonants** used in babbling are very **consistent** across languages
 - The most frequent consonants used are also frequent sounds in **adult** languages
- *Analysis:* How can we explain these connections?
 - Maybe these consonants are typically early and common because **UG prefers them**
 - But maybe it is because of **articulation and perception** factors that do *not* depend on UG
 - Or **both!** | This is an area of current research

3. Early stages of phonological development

- *Data:* **Early word production** — Individual children develop differently, but some **general patterns** can be observed:
 - **Vowels** develop before consonants
 - **Stops** are usually the earliest consonants
 - **Labial** is usually the first place of articulation (note: sighted children only!)
 - New phoneme categories are often distinguished in **word-initial position** first
- *Advanced research question:*
What factors might lead to these patterns?



4. Later stages of child phonology

- Young children's word pronunciations often lack the full set of sounds found in the adult language
- But in many cases, children are able to **distinguish between phonemes they hear** even before they can produce the difference themselves
 - How do we know this?
 - What are the implications for the child's **mental grammar**?




4. Later stages of child phonology

- *Data:* We often find that **comprehension** is more adult-like than **production**
 - Example: A child pronounces both *mouse* and *mouth* as [maws], but can point to the correct pictures in a comprehension experiment
- *Analysis:* What are the **implications** of this pattern for the child's developing mental grammar?


4. Later stages of child phonology

- *Data:* A child pronounces both *mouse* and *mouth* as [maws], but can point to the correct pictures in a comprehension experiment
- *Analysis:* What are the **implications** of this pattern?
 - How is each of these words represented in the child's **mental lexicon**?
 - 
 - 
 - How can we explain the child's **pronunciation**?


4. Later stages of child phonology

- *Data:* A child pronounces both *mouse* and *mouth* as [maws], but can point to the correct pictures in a comprehension experiment
- *Analysis:* What are the **implications** of this pattern?
 - How is each of these words represented in the child's **mental lexicon**?
 -  /maws/
 -  /mawθ/
 - How can we explain the child's **pronunciation** in the case of  [maws] ?

4. Later stages of child phonology

- If a child has an adult-like phonemic form, but produces a non-adult-like phonetic form...
 - Phonemic form:  /mawθ/
 - Phonetic form: [maws]

4. Later stages of child phonology

- If a child has an adult-like phonemic form, but produces a non-adult-like phonetic form...
 - Phonemic form:  /mawθ/
 - Phonetic form: [maws]
- The child's developing grammar must have a **phonological rule** that is not part of the adult grammar

4. Later stages of child phonology

- Writing child-specific phonological rules
 - Same as for adult phonological rules:
 - Rule format (A → B / X __ Y)
 - Use of **sound properties**
 - One difference: A child-specific rule may have **no environment** if a certain natural class changes into something else *everywhere*
 - Rule in such a case is only “A → B”, no “ / ...”
- *Mouth* example: A rule for /mawθ/ → [maws] ?

4. Later stages of child phonology

- Writing child-specific phonological rules
 - Same as for adult phonological rules:
 - Rule format (A → B / X __ Y)
 - Use of **sound properties**
 - One difference: A child-specific rule may have **no environment** if a certain natural class changes into something else *everywhere*
 - Rule in such a case is only “A → B”, no “ / ...”
- *Mouth* example: **voiceless interdental → alveolar**

5. Another example of a child-specific rule

- Example from A., age 1;11

(a)	(b)	(c)
<u>c</u> up [tʌp]	g <u>o</u> at [dɔwt]	dog [dɔt]
o <u>k</u> ay [otej]	<u>G</u> rampa [dæmpə]	egg [ejt]
fo <u>r</u> k [fɔɹt]	dig <u>g</u> er [dɪdɹ]	

- What systematic patterns can we see here?
(Hint: Think about **phonetic properties** and **natural classes**)
- What rule(s) should we propose for A. at this stage?

5. Another example of a child-specific rule

- Example from A., age 1;11

(a)	(b)	(c)
<i>c<u>u</u>p</i> [tʌp]	<i>g<u>o</u>at</i> [dɔwt]	<i>d<u>o</u>g</i> [dɔt]
<i>o<u>k</u>ay</i> [otej]	<i>G<u>r</u>ampa</i> [dæmpə]	<i>e<u>g</u>g</i> [ejt]
<i>f<u>o</u>r<u>k</u></i> [fɔɪt]	<i>d<u>i</u>g<u>g</u>er</i> [dɪdɪ]	

- What systematic patterns can we see here?
 - /k/ produced as [t] in all positions
 - /g/ produced as [d] in initial and medial positions and as [t] in final position
- General rule?

5. Another example of a child-specific rule

- Example from A., age 1;11

(a)	(b)	(c)
<i>c<u>u</u>p</i> [tʌp]	<i>g<u>o</u>at</i> [dɔwt]	<i>d<u>o</u>g</i> [dɔt]
<i>o<u>k</u>ay</i> [otej]	<i>G<u>r</u>ampa</i> [dæmpə]	<i>e<u>g</u>g</i> [ejt]
<i>f<u>o</u>r<u>k</u></i> [fɔɪt]	<i>d<u>i</u>g<u>g</u>er</i> [dɪdɪ]	

- What systematic patterns can we see here?
 - /k/ produced as [t] in all positions
 - /g/ produced as [d] in initial and medial positions and as [t] in final position
- General rule? **Velar stops → alveolar**
Apparently also: Voiced stops → voiceless / _#

5. Another example of a child-specific rule

- A. **consistently** applied this rule until about age 2;6
 - Then 2 wks of **variable** [t]~[k] for /k/ (likewise /g/)
Sometimes, A. would visibly **correct** her first production:
“[tʌp] ... [kʌp]”
 - After that, she settled on consistent [k] and [g]
 - Only one lexical item showed confusion about which phoneme it contained: *gear* [diʌ]

5. Another example of a child-specific rule

- A. **consistently** applied this rule until about age 2;6
 - Then 2 wks of **variable** [t]~[k] for /k/ (likewise /g/)
Sometimes, A. would visibly **correct** her first production:
“[tʌp] ... [kʌp]”
 - After that, she settled on consistent [k] and [g]
 - Only one lexical item showed confusion about which phoneme it contained: *gear* [diʌ]
- Just for fun: A. at age 4;6, when I misheard what she said about a bad smell along the road on a hot day
 - “No, I didn’t say *tar*, I said *car*! Not T-A-R. C-A-R.”

6. Methods in acquisition research

- Just as with adult language data, we can classify child language data as either:
 - **Naturalistic** — observe what naturally occurs
 - **Experimental** — create situations, collect responses
- What are some of the advantages and disadvantages of each of these types of data?

6. Methods in acquisition research

- What are some of the advantages and disadvantages of each of these types of data?

Naturalistic	Experimental
😊 Taken from real life (is actual behavior)	✗ Artificial situation (does behavior change?)
✗ Can't control what kind of data is available	😊 Can collect particular types of data

6. Methods in acquisition research

- Methods of data collection for each type:
 - **Naturalistic** — observe what naturally occurs
 - Diary studies (write down observations)
 - Audio or video recordings (and transcripts)

6. Methods in acquisition research

- Methods of data collection for each type:
 - **Experimental** — create situations, collect responses
 - Infants react differently when they hear something familiar vs. new
 - Do two segments sound different?
 - Young children can point to pictures, answer questions (“which is a mouth?”)
 - All ages can do eye-tracking experiments
 - Which picture on a screen do they watch?

6. Methods in acquisition research

Examples of some experimental methods used in child language research

- Video
 - [Infant Language Lab \(1999\)](#) — Johns Hopkins
Video is old, but shows actual infants being tested
- Research lab web sites with photos & information about the methods they use
 - [Bergelson Lab](#) — Duke U and Harvard U
 - [BabyLab](#) — U Potsdam
 - [Penn Infant Language Center](#) — U Pennsylvania