

## Phono II: Final Project Presentation

	Excellent (A)	Competent (B-C)	Needs work (D-F)
<b>Analysis and discussion of project</b> <i>(worth most)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Main point is clearly stated up front</li> <li>Logical structure of presentation is clear</li> <li>Analysis/proposal is explicitly justified</li> <li>Discussion is relevant/focused on RQ</li> <li>Class knowledge used appropriately</li> <li>Clear connections made to course themes, where relevant</li> <li>Thorough attempt made to address issues identified in rough draft, if applicable</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Has point, but not made fully clear</li> <li>Logical structure not fully clear</li> <li>Some justification; needs more</li> <li>Discussion needs more focus on RQ</li> <li>Some class knowledge used</li> <li>Some connections made to course themes</li> <li>Some attempt made to address issues identified in rough draft</li> <li>Project only {mostly partly} complete  <i>[standards slightly looser on W Dec 1]</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No discernable main point</li> <li>Logical structure very unclear</li> <li>No justification or argumentation</li> <li>Discussion not organized</li> <li>Serious underuse of cls knowledge</li> <li>Few or no connections to course themes</li> <li>Little or no attempt made to address issues identified in rough draft</li> <li>Project seriously incomplete</li> </ul>
<b>Mechanics of handout/slides</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Handout or slides have crucial info (includes point, data, main arguments)</li> <li>Handout/slides legible and organized</li> <li>New terms/formalisms explained</li> <li>Citations during discussion and bibliography at end</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Handout/slides: crucial info missing</li> <li>Handout/slides hard to read</li> <li>New terms/formalisms not explained</li> <li>Citations during discussion or bibliography at end, but not both</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No handout or slides provided</li> <li>No handout/slides to JS before pres</li> <li>No refs or bibliography given</li> </ul>
<b>Timing, scope of presentation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Time: 15-20 min</li> <li>Pres is of appropriate scope for time</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>More than 2 min too {long   short}</li> <li>Scope somewhat too {wide   narrow}</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pres lasts less than 10 min</li> <li>Scope much too {wide   narrow}</li> </ul>

### Additional notes on presentation:

- **Design a talk that works in 15-20 minutes.** If you have to leave out certain aspects of your paper, that's okay — decide what you can show and discuss in the allotted time that makes for an interesting and convincing talk.
- **Handout or slides** are mandatory. (You may use the room computer for slides.)
- If you're using **slides**, please bring JS a **hard copy** to write on (or you may submit by e-mail, but please send before 1pm in this case).
- If you are using a **handout**, please bring **copies** for the other 8 students (and JS unless e-mailed)