

Grading criteria: Article Summary**Structure of Japanese**

	Excellent (A)	Competent (B-C)	Needs work (D-F)
Content	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Main point explained clearly at the beginning of summary • Supporting evidence presented, clearly explained; includes lg data exx if applic. • Article was well understood • Link to project made clear 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Main point not clear, or not at beginning • Supp evidence not clearly explained, or unclear how evidence supports main point • Not clear if fully understood • Link to project mentioned 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Main point not identified • Supp evidence for article's main point not discussed • Greatly misinterpreted • No link to project made
Mechanics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explained mostly in your own words • Crucial new concepts clearly defined or explained • Class concepts/terms used when appropriate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heavy use of direct quotns, but citations given • Crucial new concepts addressed, but not fully clear • Some opportunities to use class concepts missed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct quotations used without citations • Mostly quotations; little discussion/interpretation • New concepts not explnd • Class concepts not used
Article info (less weight)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Article meets criteria • Full citation and article URL/PDF provided 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Somewhat meets criteria • Citation or article URL/PDF provided, but not both 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bad fit for criteria • Neither citation nor article URL/PDF provided