

Today's topics:

- **Discussion: Writing systems, visual processing, language structure**

Background:

- RE #2
- Course material so far

0. Key points today

- Discussion of RE #2: Comparing writing systems and language sounds
- Wrap-up of discussion of visual processing
 - Information during preview?
- Understanding / explaining a data graphic
- The “Simple View” of reading
 - How are different levels of language structure involved?

1. Discussion of RE #2

- Some languages you discussed in your essays
 - Spanish
 - Japanese
- Questions to address:
 - **Alphabet?** If yes, **differences** from English?
 - If no, what language units do **graphemes** write?
 - Is the **orthography** deep or shallow?
 - What are some **sound differences** vs. English?
 - Practice distinguishing *sounds* from *writing*

1. Discussion of RE #2

- Review: Types of writing systems
 - **Alphabetic:** grapheme → phoneme
 - **Syllabic:** grapheme → syllable
 - **Logographic:** grapheme → morpheme/word
 - **Abugida:** grapheme → C + default V, or other V
 - **Abjad:** like an alphabet, but consonants (C) only
 - Other variations/subtypes of these
- Bonus question: How does visual processing seem to differ with different types of writing systems?

2. Information from preview (cont.)

- Picking up our discussion of visual text processing
 - What can you remember about how skilled readers of English get information from **preview** during fixation?

2. Information from preview (cont.)

- What kind of info is used at what distance from fixation point? (“mutilated text” methodology)
 - Info about **spaces** between words is useful out to about 15 characters to the right of fixation
 - May help plan saccades
 - **Letter shape** information (similar ascenders/descenders) is useful out to about 10 characters to the right of fixation
 - Readers are only *consciously* aware of letter shape info *within* the word being fixated

2. Information from preview (cont.)

- A closer look:

What information is a reader getting from those ~15 characters to the right of the fixation point?

- Newer methodology: the **boundary technique**
 - How does this work?
(Relevance of the “CWL = critical word location”?)

2. Information from preview (cont.)

- Newer methodology: the **boundary technique**
 - **CWL** = critical word location
 - Point being tested for info availability when **previewed** on fixation to left
 - CWL has certain content as gaze approaches
 - When a saccade crosses a specified **boundary**, content in the CWL is **changed**
 - Does this switch **affect CWL fixation duration?**
 - If yes, relevant info was available from the CWL at distance of prior fixation

2. Information from preview (cont.)

- Boundary-technique findings

Distance, prior fixation → CWL	Type of information with an effect
>12 character spaces	
7-12 character spaces	
6 character spaces	

- Find this information in **Fig 4.6**? (data graphic)
 - *parse* graphic — Axes? Symbols? Conditions?
 - *interpret* graphic — What “story” do we see?

2. Information from preview (cont.)

- Boundary-technique findings

Distance, prior fixation → CWL	Type of information with an effect
> 12 character spaces	No effect
7-12 character spaces	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Shape of word• Shapes of letters• First or last letter matches
6 character spaces	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• CWL is word/nonword

2. Information from preview (cont.)

- **Preview benefit:** When readers preview a word before fixating on it, they then fixate on the previewed word for a shorter time
 - Mostly affects word $n+1$
 - Partial information about word can be gained, then integrated when that word in turn is fixated
- Sometimes words are even **skipped** = identified during fixation on the previous word (or predicted)
 - Most often short words, function words

2. Information from preview (cont.)

- What kind of information is **activated** during parafoveal preview, such that it helps facilitate **processing** when the previewed word is fixated?
 - This information seems to be based on **orthographic similarity**
 - word/letter shape
 - matching first/last letters
 - But: what kind of information is it?

3. How does preview help word recognition?

- What word info is (partially) activated in parafoveal preview? Authors consider 5 possibilities:
 - 1 Purely visual information about letter shapes
 - 2 Semantic (meaning) information
 - 3 Sound codes (phonemes, syllables)
 - 4 Orthographic codes (letter forms, not shapes)
 - 5 Lexical entry of word partly activated

3. How does preview help word recognition?

- What word info is (partially) activated in parafoveal preview? Authors consider 5 possibilities:
 - 1 Purely visual information about letter shapes
 - Changing letter case has no effect
 - What do we conclude?

3. How does preview help word recognition?

- What word info is (partially) activated in parafoveal preview? Authors consider 5 possibilities:
 - ~~1 Purely visual information about letter shapes~~
 - Changing letter case has no effect
→ Hypothesis rejected

3. How does preview help word recognition?

- What word info is (partially) activated in parafoveal preview? Authors consider 5 possibilities:
 - 2 Semantic (meaning) information
 - No evidence for semantic priming

semantic priming: you see/hear a *semantically related* word (related by meaning) first, and it makes you respond to the target word faster

 - What do we conclude?

3. How does preview help word recognition?

- What word info is (partially) activated in parafoveal preview? Authors consider 5 possibilities:
 - ~~2 Semantic (meaning) information~~
 - No evidence for semantic priming
 - Hypothesis rejected

3. How does preview help word recognition?

- What word info is (partially) activated in parafoveal preview? Authors consider 5 possibilities:
 - 3 **Sound codes** (phonemes, syllables)
 - 4 **Orthographic codes** (letter *forms*, not shapes)
 - 5 **Lexical entry** of word partly activated
 - Comments? Do the authors think any of these are likely to be involved?

3. How does preview help word recognition?

- What word info is (partially) activated in parafoveal preview? Authors consider 5 possibilities:
 - 3 **Sound codes** (phonemes, syllables)
 - 4 **Orthographic codes** (letter *forms*, not shapes)
 - 5 **Lexical entry** of word partly activated
 - According to authors, these three are plausible
 - They likely interact
 - We will investigate some of these in other studies

4. The “simple view” of reading

- The “**simple view**” of reading mentioned by Hanford (2018)

R = **D** × **C** | **Reading** is the product of
(written-symbol) **decoding** and
(spoken-language) **comprehension**

- What roles are played here by...
 - **Phonics** and practice with “sight words”?
 - **Morphology** and **syntax**?

Gough, Philip B., and William E. Tunmer. 1986. Decoding, reading, and reading disability. *Remedial and Special Education* 7 (1): 6–10. [[link](#)]

Hoover, Wesley A., and Philip B. Gough. 1990. The simple view of reading. *Reading and Writing* 2 (2): 127–160. [[link](#)]

5. Authentic example: A reading curriculum

- Returning to the learning objectives in the [Wilson Fundamentals reading curriculum, Level 1](#) brochure (used in 1st grade, Carrboro Elementary)
 - Does this curriculum incorporate **morphology**?
 - Does this curriculum incorporate **syntax**?
 - What other aspects of language comprehension can we identify?

6. For next time

- We will discuss a **research article** (Treiman, Kessler, & Bick 2002)
 - Handout - "[Preparation for discussion—Scientific research articles](#)"
 - Next class, we will focus on questions (1)–(5b):
 - the parts of a quantitative research paper
 - research questions
 - experiment conditions
 - stimulus design