# Today's topic:

Pre-presentation discussion:
 Orthographic learning and reading difficulty

#### Background:

Wang, Marinus, Nickels, & Castles (2014),
 "Tracking orthographic learning..."

#### 0. Course info and announcements

- Article presentation on *Th* Mar 21 (after Group 4 prep discussion)
  - Check-in with Group 3 members
  - Reminder: See presentation **assignment handout**, linked from <u>Daily syllabus</u> or <u>Links for</u>
    <u>assignments</u> web page

## 0. Key points today

- Quick background review: Decoding
- Article background and key concepts
- Big-picture research questions
- Statistical analysis

#### 1. Warm-up

#### **Group discussion**

 What is meant by decoding as a technical term in research on reading?

How is decoding related to...

- phonics?
- phonological awareness?
- morphological awareness? (RE #3)
- What are some aspects of reading that are **not** part of decoding?

#### 1. Warm-up

#### **Group discussion**

- What is meant by decoding as a technical term in research on reading?
  - converting from written symbols to spoken language
- Reminder: The "simple view" of reading by P. Gough and colleagues

$$R = D \times C$$
 | Reading is the product of (written-symbol) decoding and (spoken-language) comprehension

- Wang, Hua-Chen, Eva Marinus, Lyndsey Nickels, and Anne Castles. 2014. Tracking orthographic learning in children with different profiles of reading difficulty. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 8: article 468, 1-14.
  - Article link (UNC Libraries)

- Wang et al. (2014) talk about
  - decoding
    - letters to ...
  - automatic whole-word recognition
    - written word to ...

- Wang et al. (2014) talk about
  - decoding
    - letters to sounds (i.e., using phonics)
  - automatic whole-word recognition
    - written word to lexical entry (retrieve stored written form)

- Dual-route model of reading aloud (Coltheart et al.)
  - see Fig 1 in the article (p 4)

- How does this model represent...
  - decoding?
  - whole-word recognition?

Which mechanism should be helpful in reading...?

|                      | decoding | whole-word recognition |
|----------------------|----------|------------------------|
| new words/           |          |                        |
| nonwords             |          |                        |
| irregular words      |          |                        |
| (irregular spelling) |          |                        |
| regular words        |          |                        |
| (regular spelling)   |          |                        |

Which mechanism should be helpful in reading...?

|   | decoding | whole-word recognition |
|---|----------|------------------------|
| new words/<br>nonwords                  | <b>✓</b> | ×                      |
| irregular words<br>(irregular spelling) | ×        | ~                      |
| regular words<br>(regular spelling)     | ~        | ~                      |

- Wang et al. (2014) talk about
  - **orthographic learning**"the transition from the slow sounding out of an unfamiliar new word to the rapid automatic recognition of the same word" (Wang et al. 2014: 1)
  - self-teaching hypothesis = about how orthographic learning happens
    - decoding as first, most important step
    - orthographic processing as a secondary factor

#### **Discussion**

How would you define dyslexia?

- Rayner et al. (2012: 345) [see Course Reserves]
   "The term dyslexia is used to describe individuals who have difficulty reading words accurately and fluently."
- Dyslexia literally means 'difficulty with reading'
  - On a continuum from mild (spelling difficulty) to severe (major reading and writing problems)
  - May cooccur with ADHD or motor-sequencing problems

- Dyslexia is **not** a greater tendency to confuse direction or orientation of letters, words
  - "...beginning readers who are dyslexic do not make such mistakes any more frequently on average than other beginning readers" (Rayner et al. 2012: 352, citing studies from 1960s, 1970s)

• **Dyslexia** literally means 'difficulty with reading'

As defined by the NIH (from Rayner et al. 2012: 354):

Dyslexia is a specific learning disability that is neurobiological in origin. It is characterized by difficulties with accurate and/or fluent word recognition and poor spelling and decoding abilities. These difficulties typically result from a deficit in the phonological component of language that is often unexpected in relation to other cognitive abilities and the provision of effective classroom instruction. Secondary consequences may include problems in reading comprehension and reduced reading experience that can impede the growth of vocabulary and background knowledge.

- Symptoms (for diagnosis) are behavioral
- Main cause is *phonological* processing problems (not visual or auditory perception)
- Main impairment is single-word reading, but this affects vocabulary and reading comprehension

Rayner et al. (2012)

- Some patterns in developmental dyslexia
  - Estimated to occur in 5–17% of all children
  - Runs in families (genetic? or environmental?)
    - Genetic evidence for phonological coding and orthographic coding as separate factors
  - Contribution of biological gender?
    - Slightly more common in males
    - Likely underdiagnosed in females
    - Gender balance may differ by diagnostic criteria, severity

Rayner et al. (2012: 353)

- Dyslexia is at the end of a continuum of poor reading skills — it is not a distinct category
- The way that dyslexia manifests, or affects reading skills, can vary a lot from child to child
- Are there subtypes of dyslexia, that might respond to different kinds of intervention?
  - Early research not conclusive small samples,
     phonological awareness not tested

#### *Treatment/interventions?*

- Rayner et al (2012: 371) [emphasis added]
  - One problem with the term dyslexia is that many parents and teachers think of it as a **pathology**, and as a result believe that the child can never learn to read, write, and spell. There is **no need** for such a hopeless prognosis, if the child can be **identified before third grade** and provided with the appropriate educational services (Torgesen, 2004; Torgesen & Hudson, 2006).

#### *Treatment/interventions?*

- Rayner et al (2012: 357) [emphasis added]
  - ...most children with early reading problems
     benefit from supplemental decoding instruction,
     whether or not they are diagnosed with dyslexia.
  - Should children **fail to receive** appropriate instruction, however, they **do not** appear to **grow out** of their reading difficulties simply with the passage of time.

- Wang et al. (2014) follow a traditional distinction:
  - Phonological dyslexia —

- Surface dyslexia —

- Wang et al. (2014) follow a traditional distinction:
  - Phonological dyslexia
    - decoding = difficulty
    - memorized words = okay
  - Surface dyslexia
    - decoding = okay
    - memorized words = difficulty

- Back to the self-teaching hypothesis about how orthographic learning happens
  - decoding as first, most important step
  - orthographic processing as a secondary factor
- Which type of dyslexia is surprising, if this hypothesis is correct?

## 3. Research questions

#### **Discussion**

Big-picture research questions?

## 4. Experiment design and statistical analysis

 Why do the authors need to develop a way to test for orthographic learning that is minimally influenced by decodability?

## 4. Experiment design and statistical analysis

 Backward masking: a mask (here, ####) appears on screen after target in the same location, as if "covering up" the target

- Statistical analysis for Study 1 includes
  - *t*-test —

- Statistical analysis for Study 1 includes
  - t-test is the difference between two sample means statistically significant?

(for more info, see <u>VassarStats</u>, Ch 9–12)

- Statistical analysis for Study 1 includes
  - ANOVA
    - main effect:
    - interaction:

- Statistical analysis for Study 1 includes
  - ANOVA are means in groups with multiple crossed factors same/different?
    - main effect: this predictor matters when the categories of the other predictor are combined
    - interaction: the effect of one predictor differs based on the value of the other

(for more info, see <u>VassarStats</u> on ANOVA)

- Statistical analysis for Study 2 includes
  - Set of **correlations** —

- Statistical analysis for Study 2 includes
  - Table of **correlations** How much does the value of *x* predict the value of *y*?
  - Note: There isn't really a great way to produce a data graphic for a table of correlations
    - "Correlogram" adds color / shading / plot-point size to each cell in the table (see <u>examples</u>)
    - You are not required or expected to do this!

- Statistical analysis for Study 2 includes
  - Stepwise multiple regression analyses
- Regression:
  - The elements of the analysis
    - Predictor variables (independent variables)
    - Control variables (also independent variables)
    - Outcome variables (dependent variables)
  - The analysis asks: **How much** does each predictor (and control) variable **influence** the outcome variables?

- Statistical analysis for Study 2 includes
  - Stepwise multiple regression analyses

#### Stepwise:

- Start with factors you just want to control for:
   how much do these influence the outcomes?
- Then do another regression analysis
  - How much do the *remaining* factors (here, the predictors) influence the outcomes...
  - ... *after* the effect of the factors in the first step is accounted for?

- Statistical analysis for Study 2 includes
  - Stepwise multiple regression analyses
- Interpreting the regression results:
  - The **amount of influence** of each predictor (or control) factor = the **coefficient**, or **beta**, value
  - *Data graphic:* You can **plot** the coefficients (betas) for the predictors! (What comparisons are interesting to highlight?)

- Statistical analysis for Study 2 includes
  - Stepwise multiple regression analyses
- Interpreting the regression results:
  - I have some questions about Table 5!
    - I suspect a formatting error (Step 1 vs. Step 2 for the predictors)
    - I don't understand why age, IQ coefficients are given for both steps — ?

## 5. Upcoming

- Group 4 article prep next Tu (after Spring Break)
- Then two presentation days in a row