Today's topic:

Presentation and discussion: Orthographic learning and reading difficulty

Background:

• Wang, Marinus, Nickels, & Castles (2014), "Tracking orthographic learning..."

Th Oct 31

0. Course info and announcements

- Group 3: Remember to fill out the self and peer evaluation form by 11:59pm on F Nov 1 (GDoc form; see link via Canvas "Assignments")
- **Group 4**: Article presentation coming up
 - Combined slides due **Tu Nov 5, 2:30pm**
 - Self/peer feedback due W Nov 6, 11:59pm

0. Key points today

- Group 3 presentation
- Follow-up discussion on the article
- Models and model-building in scientific research

Group 3 article presentation

Wang, Hua-Chen, Eva Marinus, Lyndsey Nickels, and Anne Castles. 2014. Tracking orthographic learning in children with different profiles of reading difficulty. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience* 8: article 468, 1-14.

- <u>Article link</u> (UNC Libraries)

2. Discussion: Wang et al. (2014)

• Any additional comments or questions?

2. Discussion: Wang et al. (2014)

- Types of results in this article
 - Group comparisons
 - Relationships between predictors and outcomes (correlations, regressions)

3. Meta-discussion: On article presentations

• Now that we have seen three presentations...

Does anyone have any suggestions or ideas for the **presenters** or the **audience** to consider, going forward?

- In scientific investigation, what is a **model**?
 - Can you think of any examples of models from various areas of science?

- A model is an abstract explanatory device designed to account for data
 - 'Abstract' = exists in the minds of the explainers
 - Data = facts that we observe about the world
- What does having a model allow us to do?

- A model is an abstract explanatory device designed to account for data
- What does having a model allow us to do?
 - **Describe** what we observe
 - **Predict** what else should happen
 - (Attempt to) **explain** why phenomena occur
- If we can get our model to be a **good match** with how the world works, we conclude that properties of the world are like properties of our model
 - We check this by **testing hypotheses**

- When we propose a model, what are some of the characteristics we have to give it?
 - We propose **entities** that exist in the model
 - We propose ways in which those entities **behave** or **interact**
 - We **carefully define** those elements or entities and their relations, so that it is clear what the model allows, or requires, them to do

- Testing the "self-teaching" model of orthographic learning against two groups of poor readers
 - How did this research question relate to the structure of the experiments?

- Testing the "self-teaching" model of orthographic learning against two groups of poor readers
 - The model:
 - Phono. decoding \rightarrow orthographic learning
 - Role of "orthographic processing?
 - Types of poor readers:
 - **Surface** group (normal performance in phonological decoding)
 - Phonological group (below-normal performance in phonological decoding)

- What was the Coltheart et al. (1993, 2001)
 Dual-Route Model originally proposed in order to describe/predict/explain?
- How do Wang et al. (2014) test further predictions of this model?
- Do Wang et al.'s (2014) results help to...
 - confirm vs. find problems for the model?
 - describe/predict/explain additional phenomena?

- Do the components of the Dual-Route Model of reading aloud predict:
 - a) different skill profiles for phonological vs. surface dyslexics?
 - b) orthographic learning?
 - letter analysis
 - phonemic buffer
 - semantics

- letter-sound conversion
- orthographic lexicon
- phonological lexicon

- Group 3: Article presentation
 - Combined slides due **Th Oct 31, 2:30pm**
 - Self/peer feedback due **F Nov 1, 11:59pm**
- Everyone else:
 - Support your classmates by coming prepared for the discussion they will lead!
 - Do your best to **read** and **understand**:
 - Measurable RQs
 - Experiment design
 - Results and discussion