M Dec 4

Language change and mental grammar

Background reading:

- CL Ch 8, sec 2.4: phonological change
- CL Ch 8, sec 3.1-3.2, 3.4: morphological change
- CL Ch 8, sec 4.2: syntactic change

0. Course information

- Our final exam is Th Dec 14, 8-11am
 - This is more than a week after our last class!
 - Tip: Review a little each day to keep the material fresh
- Info & review guide available W Dec 6
 - The final exam is cumulative
- I will hold a **review session** shortly before the final exam (probably on W Dec 13)
 - I will take suggestions before the review session for topics to discuss or review

- We know that language changes over time
 - We can use information from existing languages to **reconstruct** (hypothesize) their ancestor language (common starting point)
 - See lecture outline from last time for examples
 - We can **observe** changes in language through historical records
- When language changes over time, *what* changes?
 What is different between older, younger speakers?
 - Think about this as we consider some examples

• What language is this? (examples from Campbell 1999)

Þa æfter lytlum fyrste genēalæton þa ðe þær stodon, cwædon to petre. Soðlice þu eart of hym, þyn spræc þe gesweotolað.

• What language is this?

(examples from Campbell 1999)

And a litil aftir, thei that stooden camen, and seiden to Petir, treuli thou art of hem; for thi speche makith thee knowun.

• What language is this? (examples from Campbell 1999)

And after a while came vnto him they that stood by, and saide to Peter, Surely thou also art one of them, for thy speech bewrayeth thee.

- Early Modern English King James Bible, 1611
 And after a while <u>came vnto him</u>
 <u>they that stood by</u>, and <u>saide to Peter</u>,
 Surely thou also art one of them,
 for thy speech bewrayeth thee. (Matthew 27:73)
- Can we see differences from Modern English?
 - spelling differences (some may be clues to phonology; some are not linguistically interesting)
 - lexicon
 - morphology and syntax

- Middle English Wycliff [wɪklɪf] Bible, 14th century
 And a litil aftir, thei that stooden
 camen, and seiden to Petir,
 treuli thou art of hem;
 for thi speche makith thee knowun.
- Can we see differences from Modern English?
 - (spelling differences)
 - lexicon
 - **morphology** (no syntax differences visible here)

- Old English West-Saxon Gospels, c. 1050
 - ÞaæfterlytlumfyrstegenēalātonThenafterlittlefirstapproached

baðebærstodon,cwædon topetre.theythattherestood,saidtoPeter.

Soðliceþueartofhym,Trulythouartofthem,

bynspræcbegesweotolaðthyspeechtheemakes-clear.

• Old English:

Can we see differences from Modern English?

- (spelling differences; unfamiliar alphabet letters)
- lexicon
- morphology
- syntax

- Suppose we observe (from language data) that Language A and Language B are different
 - What are the differences between the speakers of those two languages?
- Now suppose we observe that a language has changed over time
 - What are the differences between older and newer speakers of those two languages?

- Suppose we observe (from language data) that Language A and Language B are different
 - What are the differences between the speakers of those two languages?

 \rightarrow lexicon and/or mental grammar

- Now suppose we observe that a language has changed over time
 - What are the differences between older and newer speakers of those two languages?
 - \rightarrow lexicon and/or mental grammar!

- When we see that two languages (or varieties) differ, we know that they differ in terms of their...
 - **lexicon** (morphemes and their meanings)
 - **mental grammar**, including:
 - inventory of phonemes
 - X-bar structure
 - **rules** (phonological, morphological, syntactic...)
- When a language changes over time, these aspects must also be what is changing

- Language change over time is often strikingly regular and systematic
 - Does our model of human mental grammar predict this?

- Language change over time is often strikingly regular and systematic
 - Does our model of human mental grammar predict this?
 - Yes! Changes in the **mental grammar** (rules) *should* be systematic
- There are also some historical changes that affect individual lexical items
 - These changes are more sporadic, since they arise morpheme by morpheme

2. How language change happens

• What factors might make a language (lexicon, mental grammar) **different** from one generation to the next?

2. How language change happens

- What factors might make a language (lexicon, mental grammar) **different** from one generation to the next?
 - Child language acquisition may be 'imperfect'
 from the perspective of the adult grammar
 → New generation has different mental grammar
 - Language variation may cause the language systems of two language communities to differ increasingly over time
- So **why** do some changes spread and persist, and not others? \rightarrow *Major research question; no easy answers*

2. How language change happens

- Some types of change resemble phenomena observed in child language acquisition
- Phonetic/phonological changes (sound change)
 - Ease of articulation \rightarrow assimilation change
 - Inaccurate perception \rightarrow substitution change
- Morphological or syntactic changes
 - Overgeneralization (of regular <u>or</u> irregular patterns) → change by **analogy**
 - A string of morphemes or words may be reanalyzed as having a different structure

3. Phonetics/phonology: Sound change

 When sound (or natural class) A changes over time to become sound (or natural class) B, we can write a sound change rule:

A > B / (environment, if any)

- Looks familiar! Remember to use properties
- Use this arrow (>) for change over time
- The arrow with a stem (→) is for a rule in the mental grammar for one stage in time (such as a phonological rule from phonemes to allophones, or an affix's word-formation rule)

3. Phonetics/phonology: Sound change

- Sound change rule:
 A > B / (environment, if any)
- Examples of sound changes
 - Grimm's Law (last time)
 - More examples at the end of these slides
- Sound change rules are what lead to systematic sound correspondences in related languages

Variety 1Variety 2Stage 1:/p/

Stage 2: /p/ /f/ (after /p/ > /f/ sound change)

- Morphological or syntactic changes
 - Overgeneralization (of regular <u>or</u> irregular patterns) → change by **analogy**
 - Example from Latin:

• Latin before 400 BC

hono s	'honor'	labo s	'labor'	(nom. sg.)
honō s em		labō s em		(acc. sg.)
honō s is		labō s is		(gen. sg.)

Systematic sound change ([s] > [r] between vowels)

honos	labos	(nom. sg.)
honōrem	labōrem	(acc. sg.)
honō r is	labōris	(gen. sg.)

vcls alveolar fric > vcd liquid / vowel __ vowel

- Paradigm now has an inconsistent consonant
- How might this paradigm change to become **more regular**?

• Latin after 200 BC

honor	labor	(nom. sg.)
honōrem	labōrem	(acc. sg.)
honōris	labōris	(gen. sg.)

- The change from *labōsem* to *labōrem* (etc.) is explained by a systematic sound change, but word-final [s] in general was not changed
- So why did words like *labos* change to *labor*?
 By **analogy** with the rest of their paradigm (similar to overgeneralization by children)

- Morphological or syntactic changes
 - A string of morphemes or words may be **reanalyzed** as having a different structure
 - Example from Finnish:

- Old Finnish: [-m] acc. sg., [-n] gen. sg. (example from Campbell 1999)
- Original construction: Relative clauses need **accusative** case
 - (a) näen miehe-m tule-va-m *l.see man-Acc.sg* come-part-acc.sg
 'I see the man [CP who is coming]'
 (b) näin venee-t purjehti-va-t *l.saw boat-Acc.PL* sail-part-acc.PL
 'I saw the boats [CP that sail]'

- Old Finnish: [-m] acc. sg., [-n] gen. sg.
- Original construction: Relative clauses need accusative case
 (a) näen miehe-m tule-va-m *l.see man-Acc.sg come-part-Acc.sg* 'I see the man [CP who is coming]'
- Sound change: labial nasal > alveolar / __#
- New generation of learners, after sound change:

 (a) näen miehe-n tule-va-n
 → Is this accusative, or genitive?

- New generation of learners, after sound change:
 (a) näen miehe-n tule-va-n (Acc or GEN?)
- Here is what we now find in the plural:

 (b)näin vene-i-den purjehti-va-n
 I.saw boat-pl-GEN sail-part-GEN 'I saw the boats [CP that sail]'
 which is a change from the older form:
 näin venee-t purjehti-va-t
 I.saw boat-ACC.PL sail-part-ACC.PL
- How has this change in the plural come about?

- The change from accusative to genitive in Finnish relative clauses is an example of **reanalysis**
- **Reanalysis** is when:
 - A string of words (or morphemes) has an *ambiguous interpretation* it could have more than one structure ([-n]: ACC OF GEN?)
 - The new generation of learners interprets the string to have a different structure from what the older generation of speakers gave it ([-n]: GEN)
 - Reanalysis can affect morphology or syntax

- Sound change can change the phoneme inventory of a language in several ways
 - In a phoneme split,
 one phoneme > two (or more) phonemes
 - In a phoneme merger,
 two (or more) phonemes > one phoneme
 - In a phoneme shift,
 the number of phonemes does not change, but
 their phonetic value changes

 In a phoneme split, one phoneme in an older form of the language corresponds to two (or more) phonemes in a later form of the language

- Example: [n], [ŋ] in English
 - Earlier stage: No minimal pairs
 [ŋ] occurs only before [k, g]
 [n] never occurs before [k, g]
 - Did [n], [ŋ] belong to separate phonemes, or were they allophones of one phoneme?
 - Is this different from English now?

- Example: [n], [ŋ] in English
 - Earlier stage: No minimal pairs
 [ŋ] occurs only before [k, g]
 [n] never occurs before [k, g]
 - Did [n], [ŋ] belong to separate phonemes, or were they allophones of one phoneme?
 - Is this different from English now? | yes
- What happened? Word-final [g] was lost after nasals, leaving [ŋ] in word-final position
 - Now we have minimal pairs, as in [sin], [siŋ]

- In a phoneme merger, two (or more) phonemes in an older form of the language correspond to one phoneme in a later form of the language
- Examples:
 - The *pin/pen* vowel merger
 - The *cot/caught* vowel merger
 - → Which of these is **unconditioned**? (=has no environment; happens everywhere)

- In a phoneme merger, two (or more) phonemes in an older form of the language correspond to one phoneme in a later form of the language
- Examples:
 - The *pin/pen* vowel merger
 - The *cot/caught* vowel merger
 - → Which of these is **unconditioned**? (=has no environment; happens everywhere)
 - The *pin/pen* merger happens / ____ nasals
 - The *cot/caught* merger is unconditioned

- In a phoneme shift, the number of phonemes does not change, but the phonetic value of those phonemes undergoes change
- Examples:
 - Older
 - Grimm's Law (last class)
 - Great English Vowel Shift
 - Recent/ongoing
 - Northern Cities Vowel Shift [examples at end]
 - New Zealand Vowel Shift [<u>examples</u>]

• The Great English Vowel Shift (*CL*, pp 310-311) Middle English period through the 18th century



Figure 8.8 Changes brought about by the Great English Vowel Shift

- The Great English Vowel Shift
 - How were the (first) vowels in these words pronounced before and after the shift?



- Does this help explain anything about the spelling conventions for Modern English vowels?

- The Great English Vowel Shift
 - How were the (first) vowels in these words pronounced before and after the shift?



- Does this help explain anything about the spelling conventions for Modern English vowels?

- The Great English Vowel Shift
 - How were the (first) vowels in these words pronounced before and after the shift?



- Does this help explain anything about the spelling conventions for Modern English vowels?

 When sound (or natural class) A changes over time to become sound (or natural class) B, we can write a sound change rule:

A > B / (environment, if any)

- Looks familiar! Remember to use properties
- Use this arrow (>) for change in time, and the arrow with a stem (\rightarrow) for the outcome of a speaker's phonological rule

- Sound change rule:
 A > B / (environment, if any)
- Try it: Northern Cities Shift example
 - The vowel in the word *dress* has changed to sound like the vowel in the word *trust* (in essentially all environments)
 - How can we write this as a sound change?

- Sound change rule:
 A > B / (environment, if any)
- Try it: Northern Cities Shift example
 - The vowel in the word *dress* has changed to sound like the vowel in the word *trust* (in essentially all environments)
 - How can we write this as a sound change?
 mid front lax > central
- Sound change rules are what lead to systematic sound correspondences in related languages

7. Key points from today's discussion

- When language changes over time, what changes?
 - The lexicon (individual morphemes)
 - The mental grammar: phonology, morphology, syntax
- When the mental grammar changes, we often see systematic changes in the language
- We can **describe** and **analyze** language change over time using concepts from our model of the **mental grammar**