



# **Today's objectives:**

- Model the relationship between morphology and phonology
- Analyze alternating morphemes

Background preparation:

• Data set: Lamba

### 0. Today's plan

- Checking in with features
- Segmenting words into morphemes in a data set
- Morphology and phonology in the mental grammar
- A phonological approach to alternating morphemes

### 1. Checking in — Our feature model

Data set: <u>Arabic consonants</u> (prep questions)

 How can we **apply our model** (features) to describe each consonant group?

- Other questions about using features to identify (describe) and distinguish sound classes?
  - For more practice: try filling in the <u>feature chart</u> <u>handout</u>

## **Group discussion**

- Break the words in this data set into **morphemes** 
  - How many distinct morphemes are there?
  - What meaning can we determine for each morpheme?

### Debriefing

 Some key points to understand about working with morphologically complex words

Handout - "Morphology and phonology" (101 review)

- What is a **morpheme**?
- How do we **identify** morphemes in a data set?
- What part of the grammar **adds** a morpheme to a base to create a new word?
  - See this useful <u>graphic</u> from Henry S. Thompson

# **Group discussion**

- Which suffixes have multiple surface forms?
  - A morpheme with multiple surface forms (determined by environment) is said to **alternate**
- What **determines** which form appears?

# 2. Morphology and phonology

#### Data set: Lamba (PP)

### Debriefing

- Which suffixes **alternate**?
- What **determines** which form appears?

- How do we model the grammar of a language with an alternating morpheme?
  - We discussed two broad ways of approaching this question last time what were they?

- How do we model the grammar of a language with an alternating morpheme?
  - Allomorphy: The lexical entry for the morpheme contains all of the surface forms, and the grammar has to choose the right one
  - Phonological process: There is a single underlying representation (UR) for the morpheme, and the grammar changes its features in some context

How can we decide between these hypotheses?

- Since two different suffixes show the same pattern of alternation, this is a good case for proposing a phonological process that affects both suffixes
- What **determines** which form appears?
  - *Hypothesis 1:* Lexicon contains /-e.../, and then...
  - *Hypothesis 2:* Lexicon contains /-i.../, and then...

How can we decide between these hypotheses?

- What **determines** which form appears?
  - *Hypothesis 1:* Lexicon contains /-e.../, and then...
  - *Hypothesis 2:* Lexicon contains /-i.../, and then...
- How can we decide between these hypotheses?
  - Take each hypothesis in turn and consider what phonological process(es) would be needed under that hypothesis
  - Compare: Is one of the options for the phonological process(es) **preferable**?

- Which hypothesis is preferable?
  - *Hypothesis 1:* Lexicon contains /-e.../, and then...
    - What would the phonological process be?
  - Hypothesis 2: Lexicon contains /-i.../, and then...
    - What would the phonological process be?
- Things to consider when comparing two hypotheses
  - [A very important criterion next class]
  - Can the environment needed for a process be stated **insightfully** in our model?

### 3. Modeling a morpheme alternation

- In a case of morpheme alternation, we propose that the grammar goes through the following steps:
  - Morphology: Select the UR of each morpheme in the word and assemble them
  - *Phonology:* Any **phonological process** whose conditions are met will apply
- Next time: We will look some more at how to represent a phonological process in our model of the grammar