Today's objectives:

- Motivating syllables in our phonological grammar model
- Diagnosing syllable structure

Background preparation:

continuing from discussion last time

0. Today's plan

- Check in on key points from last time
 - Why should our phonological model include syllables?
 - How can we make arguments about the role of syllable structure in a pattern?
- Pharyngealization spread in Cairene Arabic
 - How to analyze it
 - Implications for syllable structure
- Preview: Incorporating syllables in our model

Check-in discussion

- Why is English voiceless-stop aspiration a good argument in favor of the phonological relevance of syllable structure?
 - That is: Why does it support the inclusion of syllable structure in our model of the phonological grammar?

Check-in discussion

- Why is English voiceless-stop aspiration a good argument in favor of the phonological relevance of syllable structure?
 - That is: Why does it support the inclusion of syllable structure in our model of the phonological grammar?
 - → Without syllables, our model is unable to characterize the environments where aspiration does or does not occur in a unified way

 To explain the distribution of aspiration in English in terms of position in the syllable, we had to make some decisions about the syllable structure

```
Example: \underline{\mathbf{c}}om\underline{\mathbf{p}}are vs. as\underline{\mathbf{p}}ire [\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{h}} \ni \mathbf{m} \, \mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{h}} \, \epsilon \, \mathbf{1}] [\exists \, \mathbf{s} \, \mathbf{p} \, aj \, \mathbf{1}]
```

- Which decisions about English syllable structure were fairly **safe assumptions**?
- Which aspects did we have to make **proposals** about?

- Example: $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$ om $\underline{\mathbf{p}}$ are vs. as $\underline{\mathbf{p}}$ ire $[\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{h}} \circ \mathbf{m} \, \mathbf{p}^{\mathbf{h}} \, \varepsilon_{\lambda}]$ [$\partial s \, \mathbf{p} \, aj \, \lambda$]
 - Which decisions were fairly **safe assumptions**?
 - Word edge corresponds to syllable edge
 - Number of vowels (actually, [+syll] segments!)
 corresponds to number of syllables
 - Which aspects did we have to make proposals about?
 - Where the syllable divisions are inside the word (which consonants belong to which syllables)

 What source of converging evidence did we find for our proposals about syllable structure in English?

- What source of converging evidence did we find for our proposals about syllable structure in English?
 - Aspiration facts and facts about word-initial consonant sequences led to the same conclusions about which consonant clusters can start a syllable

- Our English aspiration analysis illustrates general strategies for syllable-structure-based analysis:
 - 1 Make an initial hypothesis: Use "straightforward" examples to get insight into how syllable structure predicts a phonological pattern
 - 2 Consider syllable-structure implications: What proposal does our initial hypothesis lead us to make about syllable divisions inside words?
 - 3 Look for converging evidence: Can we show that multiple phonological patterns lead us to propose the same syllable structure?

2. Pharyngealization in Cairene Arabic

Group discussion | Data set: <u>Cairene Arabic</u> (part I)

- Potential hypotheses about how "emphasis"
 (pharyngealization) spreads Are they supported?
 - It spreads to every segment in the word
 - It spreads to exactly one segment and stops
 - It spreads only from right to left
 - It spreads only from left to right
 - A vowel that gets pharyngealized always propagates pharyngealization onward to its next neighboring consonant

2. Pharyngealization in Cairene Arabic

Debriefing | Data set: <u>Cairene Arabic</u> (part I)

- All of these hypotheses have counterexamples
 - Pharyngealization can spread more than once, but doesn't always
 - It spreads to the *left* in some words, to the *right* in others, and sometimes even *both ways*
 - It *can* spread from a vowel onto the next consonant, but *doesn't always*
- Can we propose a more successful hypothesis for pharyngealization spread in this data set?

2. Pharyngealization in Cairene Arabic

Group discussion | Data set: <u>Cairene Arabic</u> (part I)

- Hypothesis: "Pharyngealization spreads to all segments in the same syllable"
 - Which words show this pattern **unambiguously**? (Which words need only "safe assumptions"?)
 - Which examples force us to make **proposals** about how syllables are structured, if our hypothesis is correct?
 - Are those proposals plausible and consistent?
 (What generalizations can we draw about possible syllable structures in Cairene Arabic?)

3. Syllable structure in Cairene Arabic

Data set: <u>Cairene Arabic</u> (part I)

 Is anything about Cairene syllable structure different from what happens in English?

3. Syllable structure in Cairene Arabic

Data set: <u>Cairene Arabic</u> (part I)

- Is anything about Cairene syllable structure different from what happens in English?
 - What happens when there are **two consonants** between vowels...
 - in Cairene? [RAzgil] vs. [RAGlezn]
 - in English? [k^h ə m p^h ε ι] vs. [ə s p aj ι]
 - What is the **maximum** number of consonants we seem to see in syllable-initial and syllable-final position in Cairene?

3. Syllable structure in Cairene Arabic

Group discussion | Data set: <u>Cairene Arabic</u> (part II)

- Now look at the epenthesis (insertion) data in Part II
 - How can we use the epenthesis facts as converging evidence for our approach to the pattern of pharyngealization spread?

- Syllable structure is phonological, not phonetic
 - What does this mean?

- Syllable structure is primarily about how the mental grammar organizes the segments in a language
 - We can't look at a data set (audio file) and "see" how the segments are combined into syllables
 - Instead, we have to find evidence and make arguments for how syllables are constructed in each language
 - → Which hypotheses about how segments are syllabified provide the best explanations for phonological patterns?

We have seen:

- Syllable structure is phonological (not phonetic)
- Two languages may differ in how they assign segments to syllables

But phonologists have also found:

- The way a particular language assigns segments to syllables is fully consistent (= predictable)
 - Should syllable structure be stored in URs, or assigned by the phonological grammar? Why?

Next time:

We will begin to add to our **model** of the phonological grammar so that it can...

- Refer to **syllables**
- Refer to aspects of the **structure** inside syllables
- Allow for **different** syllable-structure options in different languages
- Capture the fact that some aspects of syllable structure are **common** across languages