# Today's objectives:

- Describing syllable "options"
- Applying syllable-building rules

#### Background preparation:

- Handout: "...Describing syllabification options"
- Handout: "Syllable-building rules"

### 0. Today's plan

- Review and context
  - Our approach to syllable structure
  - Check-in on prep questions: Finding examples
- Checking in on our model
  - Describing σ options (making generalizations)
  - Applying  $\sigma$ -building rules (tools of the model)
- Syllable-based epenthesis and deletion rules
- Applying our model: Korean loanwords

- Many aspects of syllable structure are either:
  - the same in all languages
  - chosen from a very small range of possibilities
- This is unlike segmental rules, which seem to differ widely from language to language
- Therefore, our approach to modeling syllablebuilding rules will look a little different from our approach to modeling segmental rules:
  - Universal <u>rules</u> that **build** structure
  - Language-specific <u>limits</u> on rule application

- Our first step in analyzing syllable structure:
  - **Observe** and **describe** what syllable-structure patterns are possible out there in the world
  - Handout "...Describing syllabification options"
- In practical terms, when working with a data set:
  - a) Use the available phonological **evidence** to determine how segments are assigned to syllables in the language
  - b) Make **generalizations** about **legal nuclei**, **onsets**, or **codas** in the language

Checking in on prep questions:

Onsetless Onset Coda? Coda syllable? cluster? cluster?

herb string match

Checking in on prep questions:

	Onsetless	Onset	Coda?	Coda
	syllable?	cluster?		cluster?
herb	Y	N	Y	N
string	N	Y	Y	(depends on variety)
match	N	N	Y	N

 What's a good way to avoid being fooled by spelling?

- Our next step in analyzing syllable structure:
   What kind of syllable-building rules can we propose to enforce both universal patterns and options in individual languages?
  - Handout "Syllable-building rules"
- In practical terms, when working with a data set:
  - c) **Apply** the universal syllable-building **rules**, as restricted by the **limits** on legal onsets, nuclei, and codas in the specific language in question

- Our model of the phonological mental grammar currently includes...
  - A set of **features**
  - The concept of a **segment**, made up of features
  - Word boundary (#)
  - Phonological rules that manipulate features (called "segmental rules"): A → B / C \_\_ D
  - The concept of a **syllable** ( $\sigma$ ), made up of segments
  - The syllable positions **nucleus**, **onset**, **coda**
  - Universal **rules** to **build** syllable structure, subject to language-particular **limits** on **legal** nuclei/onsets/codas

- How does our model account for...
  - **Universal** pattern: Every syllable has a nucleus

- **Universal** pattern: Consonants that immediately precede nuclei are *onsets*, not codas

- Language-particular limits on onset clusters

- How do these rules account for...
  - **Universal** pattern: Every syllable has a nucleus
    - Only create  $\sigma$  if there is V (legal nucleus)
  - Universal pattern: Consonants that immediately precede nuclei are onsets, not codas
    - Onset Rule universally precedes Coda Rule
    - Universally specified rule order—surprising?
  - Language-particular limits on onset clusters
    - Setting for legal onsets in each language
- See handouts: "Options", "Rules"

- Language-particular limits on syllabification
  - Example for discussion:
     Coda cluster only if [+nas][-nas, -cont]
     Some URs: /ompta/ /ensta/

- What broad generalization can we make about epenthesis in Cairene Arabic and deletion in Tibetan?
  - CA: Epenthesis happens when...
  - T: Deletion happens when...

- What broad generalization can we make about epenthesis in Cairene Arabic and deletion in Tibetan?
  - CA: Epenthesis happens when...
  - T: Deletion happens when...
  - ...there is a consonant that cannot be included in any syllable

 How can our model of the mental grammar account for this?

- Proposal: The mental grammar can refer to an unsyllabified segment
  - Tibetan deletion rule (no environment needed!)
     C' → Ø
  - Cairene Arabic **epenthesis** rule

- Given our analysis of Cairene Arabic, what do we have to assume about the **ordering** of the syllablebuilding rules and the epenthesis rule?
  - Epenthesis rule: Ø → [ –bk, +hi] / C' \_\_
  - Consider this example:
     /katab-t-l-ha/ → [katabtilha] 'I wrote to her'
     \*[katabtiliha]

- Conclusion: Syllable-building rules are persistent
   It always seems to be the case that after epenthesis or deletion rules apply:
  - Syllable structure is deleted
  - Syllable-building rules start over again
- By comparison...
   (Most) segmental rules only apply once!

### 4. Applying the model: Korean loanwords

#### **Group discussion**

- Data set: Korean loanwords
  - What settings can we determine for the syllablestructure options in Korean?
    - Work this out based on data sets A, B first
    - Then consider data set C

### 4. Applying the model: Korean loanwords

#### **Group discussion**

- Data set: Korean loanwords
  - How do the syllable-building rules apply to assign syllable structure to Korean words?
  - Can our model account for the epenthesis facts?