# Today's topics:

- Research questions and experiment design
- Research papers: Overview

#### Background preparation:

Kaplan (2016), Ch 2 and Appendix (review)

# 0. Today's objectives

After today's class, you should be able to:

- State a big-picture research question
- State a measurable research question that addresses the big-picture research question
- Discuss factors that are important to consider when designing or evaluating an experiment
- Describe the structure (subparts) of a quantitative research project and identify them in an article

#### **Group discussion**

 What did you learn in middle school or high school about the "steps of the scientific method"?

My middle school taught something like this

Steps of the scientific method:

- 1. State the **question**
- 2. Form a **hypothesis**
- 3. List your **materials**
- 4. State your **methods**
- 5. Give your **results**
- 6. State your **conclusions**
- Which are part of the design of an experiment?

- Which are part of the design of an experiment?
  - 1. State the **question**
  - 2. Form a **hypothesis**
  - 3. List your **materials**
  - 4. State your **methods**
  - 5. Give your **results**
  - 6. State your **conclusions**

experiment design

from experiment

#### The research question | What we want to know

- Big-picture research question
  - Connection to big ideas "Why do we care?"

#### The research question | What we want to know

- Big-picture research question
  - Connection to big ideas "Why do we care?"
- Measurable research question
  - What the researcher is going to **do** in the study
  - Quantitative: Is A bigger than B? Does Y increase with X?
  - Addresses some aspect of the big-picture research question
- Where might researchers find big-picture RQs?

### The experiment design | What we do

- These aspects <u>follow from</u> the research questions:
- Design of the **experiment** ("materials"+"methods")
  - Materials (stimuli, etc.)
  - Participants what characteristics matter?
  - Task what will participants do?
- Work backward from these to state a specific...
  - Hypothesis what quantities do you predict to be the same or different, and why?

#### Reporting and interpreting results | What we find

- What did the experiment find?
  - Report and/or summarize data
  - Draw inferences (generalizations) from data
  - Use statistics and data tables or data graphics
- End with discussion and conclusions: How do the results answer the research questions?
  - Was the hypothesis confirmed?
  - What big-picture implications does this have?

# 2. Big-picture research questions

#### **Group discussion**

- The myth used as the title of Ch 2 is:
   "A dialect is a collection of mistakes"
- What is the overall big-picture research question addressed by the case studies in sec 2.3?
  - Österberg (1961)
  - Yiakoumetti (2006)
  - Taylor (1989)
- How closely are the myth and the big-picture research question related? Why do you think this is?

# 2. Big-picture research questions

Sec 2.3 title:

"What is the best way to teach the standard dialect to speakers of a non-standard dialect?"

More specifically (see Kaplan 2016: 21):

Does giving students **explicit instruction** in the differences between their dialect and the standard dialect **improve their ability** to use the standard dialect?

## 3. Measurable research questions

#### **Quick discussion**

 By our criteria, does this quotation from Yiakoumetti's research article represent a measurable research question?

"The aim of the study is to investigate the relationship between native speech in a regional dialect and learned knowledge of a standard educational variety." (Yiakoumetti 2006: 295)

## 3. Measurable research questions

- Measurable research question
  - What the researcher is going to do in the study
  - Quantitative: Is A bigger than B? Does Y increase with X?
  - Addresses some aspect of the big-picture research question
  - Can we state a measurable research question for any of the case studies discussed in sec 2.3?

#### Discussion

 What are some of the factors that Kaplan identifies in sec A.3 that help us evaluate whether a study is well-designed or has trustworthy results?

The topics in her discussion:

- Subject selection
- Task design
- Data analysis
- Publication bias and replication

#### **Participants**

- Are they representative of the groups of people we want to know about?
- Many study participants in linguistics or psychology are university undergraduates...
  - WEIRD! = from <u>Western</u>, <u>educated</u>,
     <u>i</u>ndustrialized, <u>rich</u>, and <u>democratic societies
     (Henrich et al. 2010)
    </u>
  - typically aged 18-24 or so

Henrich, J., Heine, S., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 33(2-3): 61-83.

#### Task design (see PQ #1 from 08. 29)

- Is the experimental task really similar to what we want to study?
  - Is it likely to affect different groups of participants differently?
  - Does observing participants change the data?
- What potential confounding factors can we identify?
  - Can we reduce or eliminate them, or at least include them explicitly in our analysis?

#### **Data analysis**

- How is the data to be coded? Is the coding protocol explicit? Is it reliable across coders? (Can we avoid coder bias?)
- Should outliers be excluded from analysis? If so, how can they be safely (objectively) identified?
- Appropriateness of statistical analysis: the right test; not too many tests ('fishing')

# Problems in replication and underreporting of null results

- More exciting to publish a non-null result than a null result
- More exciting to publish a new study than a replication
- So it might be the case that various effects are not as robust as the literature makes it seem

#### Show of hands

- Have you read a scientific research article before?
- How confident do you feel about reading scientific research articles?

 What information is in the bibliographic citation for a journal article?

Yiakoumetti, Androula. 2006. A bidialectal programme for the learning of Standard Modern Greek in Cyprus. *Applied Linguistics* 27 (2): 295–317.

- Links:
  - This article (via UNC Libraries)
  - Journal of Applied Linguistics web site

- What is a peer-reviewed journal?
  - How does peer-review work?
  - What are the goals of the peer-review process?

Is Journal of Applied Linguistics peer-reviewed?

What are the typical sections in a scientific article?

How do these relate to the "steps of the scientific method"?

- What are the typical sections in a scientific article?

  How do these relate to the "steps of the scientific method"?
  - Abstract
  - Introduction / Background / Previous Studies
  - Experiment *n* (repeat as needed)
    - Methodology: Participants, materials, etc.
    - Results and Discussion
  - General Discussion / Conclusion / Implications

#### 6. For next time

- Prep questions for Friday's class include
  - Topic preferences survey for case-study presentations
  - A research article to check out