Busting Language Myths

 Language and gender (and context...)

Background preparation:

 Kaplan (2016), Ch 7, "Women talk more than men", sections 8.1 and 8.2

- Here are some big-picture questions:
 - Does gender affect language?
 - If so, why?

But there is lots and lots to unpack here

Discussion

- What is 'gender'?
 - Are there potentially relevant factors that Kaplan's discussion doesn't incorporate?

 If it turns out to be the case that people of different genders use language differently, what are some reasons why this could be?

Debriefing

- What is 'gender'?
 - Are there potentially relevant factors that Kaplan's discussion doesn't incorporate?

- Much of Kaplan's discussion treats gender as a binary distinction
 - Are there methodological reasons for this?
 - We can discuss other perspectives and factors

- Possible reasons for gender effects in language use?
 - Biological differences in the brain
 - Differences in a culture's expectations for appropriate behavior in men and women
 - Differences in the **roles** that men and women typically play in particular situations
 - Is it gender, or power?
 - Other...
- Research on language and gender is complex;
 there are a great many factors to control for

 Why is Kaplan dubious about 'biological differences in the brain' as a general explanation of language differences between men and women?

 What are the difference and dominance models of language and gender?

- What are the difference and dominance models?
 - Difference model Girls and boys grow up in separate social groups and learn different cultures, including language behavior
 - Dominance model Conventional male and female roles typically involve a difference in relative power, and language use by men and women reflects this power difference
- Kaplan (2016: 160):
 Neither of these models can be the whole story
 - Why not?

Neither of these models can be the whole story

- Difference model
 - Underplays the fact that women and men use a variety of linguistic tools, strategies, and styles
 - Minimizes the effect of social power
- Dominance model
 - Danger of oversimplifying: Not all gender differences in language are related to power

Both models usefully call attention to context

2. Some "Western" "conventional wisdom"

 What are some claims often heard about language differences between men and women?

2. Some "Western" "conventional wisdom"

- What are some claims often heard about language differences between men and women?
 - Women have better verbal skills (earlier acquisition, better test performance, ...)
 - Women talk superficially and more while men talk less but more deeply
 - Women are "more polite" than men
 - Women's language is "more correct" than men's

- 'Conventional wisdom' in Western societies: women are more polite / less directly aggressive than men
 - Sometimes viewed negatively ('negotiate more aggressively!')
 - Sometimes viewed positively ('we need more cooperation in upper management!')

 What are some cross-cultural comparison cases discussed by Kaplan where these roles are changed or reversed?

Rural Madagascar (Keenan 1996)

- Culture values indirectness / avoiding confrontation, especially in kabary (a formal mode of speech)
 - The ability to criticize in a subtle way is seen as highly skillful
 - "Women and men alike believe that only men are skilled enough for *kabary*; women are too direct and unsophisticated."

(Kaplan 2016: 161)

Rural Madagascar (Keenan 1996)

- Women take on social tasks that require direct or aggressive speech
 - Bargaining in the market
 - Cases where direct criticism is desired

Gapun, Papua New Guinea (Kulick 1993)

- Women are seen as "disruptive, divisive, begrudging, antisocial, and emotionally excessive" (Kulick 1993: 512)
- A specifically female speech genre: the kros
 - "Long, angry monologue" spoken from inside house
 - Example provided by Kaplan (from Kulick) shows heavy use of vulgar and profane vocabulary
 - If a man wants a *kros* delivered, he will typically need to have his wife do it for him

- What can we conclude from these examples?
 - Are women biologically programmed to be more (or less) polite than men?
 - If not, where does women's tendency to be more (or less) polite than men come from?
- Are there any common patterns or common factors in the three contexts compared here?
 - Western view of women as indirect, polite
 - Madagascar
 - Gapun, PNG

- Often, women do use fewer nonstandard forms than men (examples reviewed in Labov 1990)
 - What are some of the factors behind this phenomenon?
 - Is this always the case?

Overt vs. covert prestige

- Variation often exists between standard, nonstandard forms — here, [-iŋ] (or [-ɪŋ]) vs. [-ən], as in runnin(g)
 - Note: Why is "g-dropping" a somewhat inaccurate label for this pattern of variation?
- Most people use both variants, depending on context

Overt vs. covert prestige

- Study by Trudgill (1983) in Norwich, England
 - More of the prestige variant from middle-class speakers vs. lower-class speakers
 - More of the prestige variant in more formal contexts
 - More of the prestige variant used by women than by men
- These results themselves are pretty typical

Overt vs. covert prestige

- Interesting results from an explicit question: which variant do you use more often?
 - Women tended to over-report prestige variant
 - Men tended to *under-report* prestige variant
- What does this suggest about what women and men value in language?
 - Does this change our perspective on the claim that women speak 'more correctly' than men?

Identity and the choice among language variants

- Study by Eckert (2011) of language use among high school students in a Detroit suburb (research carried out in the 1980s) [note that the group names are the students' own]
 - Jocks: oriented toward academic success and leaving the Detroit area; typically middle-class
 - Burnouts: oriented toward the local community and not toward school; typically working-class

Identity and the choice among language variants

- Students indicated their identities/group affiliations through language (among other ways)
 - 'Conservative' (standard) vs. 'progressive' (nonstandard) pronunciation variants
 - Jocks tended to use conservative variants and burnouts tended to use progressive variants
- Were girls or boys more conservative?
 - Link to 1998 version of Eckert's book chapter

Identity and the choice among language variants

- Punchline is: For certain pronunciations,
 - Jock girls were more conservative than jock boys (the frequent pattern)
 - But—Burnout girls were **more progressive** than burnout boys
- What does this suggest about the typical pattern of 'more correct' language use by women?

5. More examples

Example: "Uptalk"

"Uptalk anxiety," by Mark Liberman at Language Log

- Example: Vowel differences and height differences between men and women — an analysis by Johnson (2006)
 - <u>Link to article</u> via UNC Libraries

6. General discussion

• What are the main take-home points of Kaplan's discussion in sections 8.1–8.2?