Linguistic Phonetics Fall 2025

Final project: Slides and presentation

The final stages of the final project consist of the following parts:

Slides & appendix document

- Organize your slides according to the categories specified on the grading criteria (below)
- Make sure the slides are legible: not too much information on a page, fonts and graphics not too small, white space between ideas
- Keep the content streamlined, organized, and relevant
- Use the appendix document to present your full stimulus list, raw data, and other supporting details (no discussion necessary; simple table or outline format is fine, but provide headers to identify content)
- Upload slides & appendix document in Canvas "Assignments" by 1:00pm on the day of your presentation

Presentation

- Presentation dates: M Dec 1 and W Dec 3
 - To be scheduled on M Nov 24
- See below for presentation grading criteria

Slides — Revision (optional)

- Deadline for submitting revised slides is W Dec 10, 11:59pm (reading day)
- The expectations for the revised slides will be similar to those of the first submission
- One additional expectation: Slides edited to respond to feedback
- If revision is not submitted, grade for revision is same as original slides grade

Slides & appendix document: Grading criteria | 25 points (blue = may be in appendix document instead of slides)

	Excellent (A)
Intro, research questions, justification	 Has clear big-picture research question Has clear measurable RQ, connected to BP RQ but specific & quantitative RQs, results stated in introduction RQs given justification (with a citation)
Methodology, data collection, measurement 'Fully described' = in replicable detail	 Materials are appropriate to mRQ, and connection to mRQ made explicit Materials organized linguistically; structure/experiment conditions clear Full set of stimuli presented Appropriate amount of data collected/used (100 meas. per group) Participants' task fully described, including how stimuli were ordered Equipment settings fully described Participant information included Measurement criteria, landmarks clear; measurements appropriate
Results & discussion	 All data presented, well organized Relevant data comparisons made Helpful graphics for data or analysis; graphics key points stated on slides Analysis/interpretation explicitly justified with well-organized evidence Discussion relevant/focused on mRQ Discussion has clear, logical structure
Growth/learning	Class concepts used where appropriateSignificant attempt made to find, discuss patternsProject design responds to analysis-plan feedback
Mechanics (less weight)	 Slides easy to read Slides stand alone (some extra details orally only is okay) New terms/formalisms explained Citations in discussion if applicable Bibliography complete; consistent with class citation format Academic prose style; very few typos or errors

Presentation: Grading criteria | 10 points

	Excellent (A)
Analysis, discussion of project	 RQs clearly stated up front; results previewed Findings clearly presented Claims supported w/ organized evidence Data graphics insightful, informative New terms/formalisms explained Discussion relevant/focused on RQ Class knowledge used appropriately
Timing, scope of presentation	• Time: 8-10 minutes • Presentation is of appropriate scope for time