

Tu Jan 18

Today's topics:

- "Basic" allophones and URs
- Morphological analysis

Background preparation:

- Finnish data set
- Farsi data set (Zsiga 2010)

0. Today's key points

- Check-in: Determining contrastive/predictable distribution
- Finding and stating environments
- Underlying representations (URs)
 - Choosing a UR when there are multiple allophones
 - Implications of UR choice for modeling phonological processes
- Segmenting morphemes
- Alternating morphemes: introduction

1. Contrastive and predictable distribution

- Summary of some key issues in distribution:
 - How do we **determine** whether two speech sounds...
 - belong to two different phonemes?
 - are two allophones of the same phoneme?
 - Describing and characterizing phonological environments:
 - How much **detail** is enough vs. too much?
 - What information matters, and **why**?

1. Contrastive and predictable distribution

- Summary of some key issues in distribution:
 - Stating **phonological environments**:
 - Enough detail to uniquely identify or distinguish the relevant environments
 - No more detail than is necessary this focuses our attention on what the grammar really has to be sensitive to
 - May depend on what environmental factors are most **insightful** — can have implications for choice of UR

- How do we conceive, in a grammar model, of a phoneme that has **multiple allophones**?
 - What two options does Zsiga discuss? (Others?)
 - Why is it attractive to have a "basic" allophone? What are the hidden implications?

- How do we conceive, in a grammar model, of a phoneme that has **multiple allophones**?
 - What two options does Zsiga discuss? (Others?)
 - Why is it attractive to have a "basic" allophone? What are the hidden implications?
- Try stating an analysis of allophone distribution for:
 - <u>Tohono O'odham</u> (follow-up from last class)
 - <u>Farsi</u> (follow-up from today's SQs)
 - What are the URs?
 - What processes apply (what does the grammar have to "do")?

- <u>Tohono O'odham</u> (from last time)
 - What are the **options** for stating the **environments** for the allophones?
 - Are some of the approaches **more appealing**?
 - What are the **implications** for our **analysis** (=UR choice + phonological process(es))?

- <u>Tohono O'odham</u> [ʤ], [ʧ], [ṯ], [ḏ]
 - What are the *facts* about **distribution**?
 - Are there options for *stating* environments?
 - Which allophones belong to the **same** phoneme?
 - How do we "pair them up" and why?
 - What are the options for **URs**?
 - Is there a clear **basic allophone**? What other UR could we consider?
 - Implications for **phonological processes**?

- If we propose that [dʒ] and [d] are allophones of the same phoneme, which is a *better* "basic allophone"? Why?
- How are these as potential arguments?
 - "It matters which one appears more often in the data set"
 - "It matters which one appears in a longer list of environments"

- If we propose that [dʒ] and [d] are allophones of the same phoneme, which is a *better* "basic allophone"? Why?
- A more insightful approach...
 - It matters which one appears in an environment that is easy to characterize
 - Why?
 - Note that "easy to characterize" depends on the entities that exist in the phonological model

- <u>Farsi</u> (from SQs)
 - What are the **options** for stating the **environments** for the allophones?
 - Are some of the approaches **more appealing**?
 - What are the **implications** for our **analysis** (=UR choice + phonological process(es))?

- <u>Farsi</u>
 - **Options** for stating **environments**?

[r]	#	or	#V	
[r]	#	or	V#	
[1]	VV			
[၂]	V_C	or	VC#	or?

- Which are **more appealing**?
 - What are the **implications** for our **analysis** (=UR choice + phonological process(es))?

• What is a **morpheme**?

- What is a **morpheme**?
 - A systematic sound-meaning correspondence
 - Cannot be further divided into meaningful units
- How do we identify the morphemes in a data set?
 Data set: <u>Spanish nouns</u>

- What is a **morpheme**?
 - A systematic sound-meaning correspondence
 - Cannot be further divided into meaningful units
- How do we identify the morphemes in a data set?
 Data set: <u>Spanish nouns</u>
 - Compare forms that **share a meaning** to see what **sound** structures are also **shared**
 - Compare forms that **differ in meaning** to see what **sound** structures also **differ**

- Data set: <u>Turkish suffixes</u>
 - What are the morphemes in this data set?
 - How many **distinct suffixes** are in this data set?

4. Alternating morphemes

- Sometimes, a single morpheme has multiple surface forms: the morpheme **alternates**
- When the morpheme's **phonological environment** changes, different phonological processes apply
 - Example: English plural suffix: [-s] [-z] [-iz]
- Such morpheme alternations are another source of evidence for a phonological grammar

4. Alternating morphemes

- When we see an alternating morpheme, we want to propose a **single underlying representation (UR)**
 - Then we propose a means for the grammar to generate the other surface forms as needed
- How do we **decide** what UR to propose?
 - Consider the **alternative analyses** (what the grammar has to do under different UR assumptions)
 - Is one more **successful** than another?
 More **appealing**?

5. For next time

- Reading: Odden excerpts
- Data sets: <u>Turkish suffixes</u> <u>Javanese</u>
 - Which morphemes in these data sets alternate?
 - How can we state the environments of the surface forms of the alternating morphemes?
 - How should we decide what URs to propose for the alternating morphemes?