

Today's topics:

- **Model-building in linguistics**
- **Feature models of segmental representation**

Background preparation:

- Data sets - G&J 1998 collection
- Zsiga (2013, Ch 12)

0. Today's objectives

After today's class, you should be able to:

- Explain the reasons for defining **formal models** in phonology (linguistics, science)
- Use the **Zsiga (2013) feature model** to represent segments and segment classes, and understand its predictions, conventions, notation, and proposals
- Relate **Mielke's (2005) discussion of phonetically ambiguous and phonologically ambivalent segments** to feature models, segment classes, and debates about "Universal Grammar"

1. Model-building in linguistics

- What is a model?
- How are models used in research?

1. Model-building in linguistics

- Handout - [Model-building in scientific research](#)
 - A model is an abstract explanatory device that captures structure in the data
 - In building a model, key goals are to
 - **describe**
 - **predict**
 - **explain**the phenomena of interest
 - See handout for additional discussion

1. Model-building in linguistics

- Is there a difference between these statements?
 - *[m] is voiced*. → *fact about the **world***

 - *[m] is [+voice]*. → *element in our **model***

1. Model-building in linguistics

- Is there a difference between these statements?

- *[m] is voiced. → fact about the **world***

This is a **descriptive generalization**

- It states **what we've observed in the world**, highlighting factors we think are important

- *[m] is [+voice]. → element in our **model***

This is an **analysis**

- It specifies how we **apply the tools of our model** to represent the generalization

2. A feature model — Zsiga (2013: Ch 12)

- What facts about the world is this model intended to describe, predict, and explain?

2. A feature model — Zsiga (2013: Ch 12)

- What facts about the world is this model intended to describe, predict, and explain?
 - Speaker/language behavior concerning
 - segment-class behavior
 - segmental contrasts
- Note: We will use the feature model that Zsiga presents as a **reference point** for feature analysis
 - This represents a relatively mainstream position after decades of work in feature theory
 - Be aware of points of controversy

2. A feature model — Zsigá (2013: Ch 12)

- Overview of the model that Zsigá (2013) presents
 - See [features list handout](#)
 - How do **binary** vs. **privative** features differ?

Data set(s) — [Distinctive features and segment classes](#)

- How well does the Zsigá feature model do?

2. A feature model — Zsiga (2013: Ch 12)

Major class and manner features

- Here are some traditional descriptive terms for the natural classes that are defined by various combinations of the major class features: **consonant**, **obstruent**, **sonorant**, **liquid**, **nasal**. (Another useful term is **vocoid**, which means any [-cons] segment, i.e., vowel or glide.)
 - See if you can use the major class and manner features to specify and distinguish each class

2. A feature model — Zsiga (2013: Ch 12)

Place features

- What are the **major place features**?
- What are the **subsidiary place features**, and what is **special** about some of them?
- How can Zsiga's place features **distinguish** all the necessary places of articulation?
- Do **vowels** have place features? Do consonants have height, backness, or rounding features?
 - What predictions does this make?

3. How universal are features?

- What points of controversy does Zsiga (2013) identify with respect to the feature **[±continuant]**?
- What difficulties does Zsiga (2013) identify with respect to **consonant/vowel interactions**?
- What position does Wrenn (2025) take with respect to **[±anterior]**?
- Linguists have proposed that **signed languages** such as ASL have phonological structure, including features: Discuss?

3. How universal are features?

- [Mielke \(2005\)](#) — Some key points (in class)
 - The proposal that features are “emergent” (learned from data)
 - The very useful distinction between
 - **Phonologically active** class
 - **Phonetically natural** class
 - **Featurally natural** class [**‘defined’** better?]

Mielke, Jeff. 2005. Ambivalence and ambiguity in laterals and nasals. *Phonology* 22(2):169-203. doi:10.1017/S0952675705000539

3. How universal are features?

- We will use Zsiga's feature model as our default reference model, in general
 - We may need to **override** default specifications for a segment class when the **data** requires this
 - Also: Watch out for data that supports alternatives to Zsiga's proposed binary vs. unary feature classification