

Today's topics:

- **Syllable structure**
- **Syllable weight**
- **Sonority(?)**

Background preparation:

- Zec (2007), especially sec 8.3–8.4 (8.5?)

0. Today's objectives

After today's class, you should be able to:

- Define and represent **onset, nucleus, coda**
- Describe **typological implications** concerning nuclei, onsets, and codas and **relate** them to syllable-structure analyses in specific languages
- Find evidence for **syllable weight** in a data set, and determine what **factors** make a syllable heavy
- Use **syllable and mora notation** to communicate formal proposals about syllable structure

0. Course info and announcements

- **Analysis Assignment #1** — presentations next week
 - Any questions about structure or content?

1. Context — Syllable structure in our model

- Last time, we saw some evidence in favor of incorporating **syllable structure** into our model
- What were some justifications in terms of
 - **describing**
 - **predicting**
 - **explaining**phonological phenomena?

1. Context — Syllable structure in our model

- The Zec (2007) reading provides us with some **formal proposals** for how to incorporate syllable structure into our model
 - entities
 - interactions / behaviors
 - notation, definitions
- Zec formalizes some aspects of her proposals in the Optimality Theory (OT) grammar framework
 - We explore OT in more depth starting next week

2. Syllable-structure terminology

- [Zec \(2007\)](#): 163) lists the following four “simple”

syllable shapes:

- | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|----|
| (a) | CVC | (c) | VC |
| (b) | CV | (d) | V |

- What do **C**, **V** represent? (this is a trick question!)

- How does Zec initially define the following terms?
(to be revisited later in the chapter)

- **nucleus**

- **onset**

- **coda**

3. Syllable-structure typology

- **Implicational relationships** among the basic syllable shapes

(a) CVC (c) VC

(b) CV (d) V

- What syllable subpart is universally **required**?
- For the subparts that are potentially **optional**, what are the actual “options”?

3. Syllable-structure typology

- Are there any implicational relationships between **complex onsets** and **complex codas**?
 - Side note: Why are linguists often interested in implicational relationships?
- When there is a **single consonant** between two nuclei (...VCV...), how is that consonant syllabified?

- This is considered a universally predictable pattern; claims to the contrary require careful argumentation

3. Syllable-structure typology

- What **two processes** do we frequently observe, given segment strings that do not meet a language's requirements for syllable structure?
- What sorts of **featural asymmetries** are observed between codas and onsets?
 - What is the implication of such asymmetries for

our theory of phonological **representations**?
(What must phonological processes refer to?)

3. Syllable-structure typology

- Discussion exercise: **Hanunoo problem** (3) in [Representing syllables and syllable structure](#)
 - How does an understanding of **syllable-structure typology** shed light on this data set?
 - To consider: Will we be able to get our grammar **model** to incorporate this insight?

4. Syllable weight

- What kinds of **evidence** show that our model must distinguish between **light** and **heavy** syllables?
 - What kinds of syllables can be light?
 - What kinds of syllables can be heavy?
 - How can we determine syllable weight in a given language?
- Data sets - **Sierra Miwok** (5), **Selkup** (6) in [Representing syllables and syllable structure](#)

4. Syllable weight

- Some details of implementation
 - How are **long vowels** represented in Zec's approach to syllable weight?
 - Does a **coda consonant** have a mora?
 - If a syllable has two moras, are they represented **identically**?
 - How are **onsets** represented in Zec's model of moraic structure? Why?

5. Formalizing syllable structure

- Zec argues that it is necessary to **encode** the nucleus as part of the phonological representation
 - Does she give any evidence for this assertion?
 - Can we add additional evidence?

5. Formalizing syllable structure

- According to Zec's formal model of syllable structure, how are the following formally defined?
 - **onset**
 - **nucleus**
 - **coda**

5. Formalizing syllable structure

- Zec (2007: 176): “We will take the strong position that the nuclear node is a defining structural property of the syllable, and as such is not subject to the whims of constraint interactions.”
 - What does this mean?
- What is the nature of the arguments that Zec uses against the representation of subsyllabic structure presented in (32)?

6. Sonority (time permitting)

- See reading guide for discussion questions
- For discussion:
What are **possible coda clusters** in English? What generalizations govern these possibilities?