

Squib peer-review workshop — Reviewer comments form
--

During the workshop, you are encouraged to answer these questions as an **online survey**.

Yes	Partly	Not yet	Comments
-----	--------	---------	----------

- Main proposal clearly stated up front
- Descriptive generalizations are given (summary of the important patterns in the data)
 - DGs are insightful; make use of course concepts
 - DGs are supported with a convincing amount of data (abstract: few but clear examples)
 - Data supporting DGs are given in a way that is easy for the audience to understand
- Analysis is formalized as an OT/HG/MaxEnt grammar
 - Constraints are phonologically insightful
 - Constraints are explicitly defined as formally as possible
- Analysis is skillful and technically accurate
 - Tableau information is error-free (violations, w/L marks)
 - Tableaus and candidates are informative and efficient
 - Any claimed rankings are supported with valid ranking arguments
- Project is complete and well-structured
 - Discussion is focused on main point(s)
 - Ends with summary of key results and Hasse diagram [H. diagram optional if abstract]
 - Class knowledge is used appropriately
 - Slides [abstract] are helpful to audience
 - New terms/formalisms are explained
 - Citations at relevant points and bibliography with full references