

## LING 523: Squib presentation | AMP abstract version

	Excellent (A)	Competent (B-C)	Needs work (D-F)
<b>Analysis and discussion of project</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Main proposal clearly stated up front</li> <li>• Desc generalizations insightful</li> <li>• DG supported: <a href="#">concise relevant exx.</a>; data relevance easy to understand</li> <li>• Constraints phonologically insightful</li> <li>• <a href="#">Novel</a> constraints defined; ranking args valid; phono formalisms used</li> <li>• Tableaus and losers are informative</li> <li>• Analysis technically accurate</li> <li>• Project complete</li> <li>• <a href="#">Ends with key results</a></li> <li>• Discussion focused on main point(s)</li> <li>• Class knowledge used appropriately</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Has ppsl, but not clear   not up front</li> <li>• Desc gen not fully insightful</li> <li>• <a href="#">Examples not clearly helpful</a></li> <li>• Constraints miss some insights</li> <li>• {Some   Few} constraints defined</li> <li>• {Some   Few} ranking args valid</li> <li>• {Some   Few} formalisms used</li> <li>• Some noninformative losers/tableaus</li> <li>• Some technical problems in analysis</li> <li>• Project {mostly   partly} complete</li> <li>• <a href="#">No return to key results</a></li> <li>• Needs more focus on main point(s)</li> <li>• Some class knowledge used</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No discernable proposal</li> <li>• Desc gen problematic or missing</li> <li>• <a href="#">No supporting examples</a></li> <li>• Not formalized as OT/HG/MaxEnt</li> <li>• Constraints not insightful</li> <li>• <a href="#">Novel</a> constraints not defined</li> <li>• No valid ranking arguments</li> <li>• No formalization</li> <li>• Many noninformative losers/tables</li> <li>• Serious technical probs in analysis</li> <li>• Project seriously incomplete</li> <li>• Serious underuse of cls knowledge</li> </ul>
<b>Mechanics of abstract</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Abstract submitted on time</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Abstract convincing to audience</a></li> <li>• New terms/formalisms explained</li> <li>• <a href="#">Citations at relevant points (and references list at end, if space permits)</a></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Abstract &gt;1 hour late</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Abstract hard to read/process</a></li> <li>• Some new terms/formalisms expl</li> <li>• <a href="#">No citations in abstract body</a></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">No abstract in advance</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">No abstract at presentation</a></li> <li>• Most new terms/formalisms not expl</li> <li>• <a href="#">No citations or references given</a></li> </ul>
<b>Timing and scope of project</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Time: 13-17 min</li> <li>• Appropriate scope for project</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Longer than <u>18</u> minutes</li> <li>• Scope somewhat too wide/narrow for size of project</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shorter than 13 min</li> <li>• Scope much too wide/narrow for size of project</li> </ul>
<b>Comments for other presenters</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Posted questions/comments for all presenters; ≥3 were thoughtful/engaged with content</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Posted questions/cmts, but 3 or more were superficial (typos etc.), or cmts not posted for all classmates</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Didn't post questions/cmts</li> </ul>