LING 523: Squib presentation

	Excellent (A)	Competent (B-C)	Needs work (D-F)
Analysis and discussion of project	<ul> <li>Main proposal clearly stated up front</li> <li>Desc generalizations insightful</li> <li>DG supported: ample organized data</li> <li>Constraints phonologically insightful</li> <li>Constraints defined; ranking args valid; phono formalisms used</li> <li>Analysis technically accurate</li> <li>Project complete (may be first pass)</li> <li>Discussion focused on main point(s)</li> <li>Class knowledge used appropriately</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Has ppsl, but not clear   not up front</li> <li>Desc gen not fully insightful</li> <li>Data sparse or not well orgnzd</li> <li>Constraints miss some insights</li> <li>{Some   Few} constraints defined</li> <li>{Some   Few} ranking args valid</li> <li>{Some   Few} formalisms used</li> <li>Some technical problems in analysis</li> <li>Project {mostly   partly} complete</li> <li>Needs more focus on main point(s)</li> <li>Some class knowledge used</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No discernable proposal</li> <li>Desc gen problematic or missing</li> <li>No supporting data</li> <li>Constraints not insightful</li> <li>Constraints not defined</li> <li>No valid ranking arguments</li> <li>No formalization</li> <li>Serious technical probs in analysis</li> <li>Project seriously incomplete</li> <li>Serious underuse of cls knowledge</li> </ul>
Mechanics of handout/slides	<ul> <li>Handout or slides submitted on time</li> <li>Handout or slides helpful to audience</li> <li>New terms/formalisms explained</li> <li>Citations at relevant points and bibliography with full references</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Handout or slides &gt;1 hour late</li> <li>Handout/slides hard to read/process</li> <li>Some new terms/formalisms expl</li> <li>Citations during presentation or bibliography at end, but not both</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No handout/slides in advance</li> <li>No handout or slides at presentation</li> <li>Most new terms/formalisms not expl</li> <li>No citations or references given</li> </ul>
Timing and scope of project	<ul><li>Time: 10-15 min</li><li>Appropriate scope for project</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Longer than <u>16</u> minutes</li> <li>Scope somewhat too wide/narrow for size of project</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Shorter than 10 min</li><li>Scope much too wide/narrow for size of project</li></ul>
Comments for other presenters	Posted questions/comments; >3 were thoughtful/engaged with content	Posted questions/cmts, but 3 or more were superficial (typos etc.)	Didn't post questions/cmts