Reading guide: Bermúdez-Otero (2011), day 1 (sec 1-3, 5)

Bermúdez-Otero, Ricardo. 2011. Cyclicity. In Marc van Oostendorp, Colin J. Ewen, Elizabeth Hume and Keren Rice (eds.), *The Blackwell Companion to Phonology*, pp. 2019-2048. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.

For this assignment, focus on sections §1-§3 and §5 of the paper. You are welcome to skim §4, but we won't discuss it in class (at least at this time).

Notes:

- Bermúdez-Otero uses the term *misapplication* (§2) as a cover term for both overapplication and underapplication.
- In §3, p 2023, ex (10), the expression "a phonological process is opaque" simply means "a phonological process shows misapplication effects".
- (1) Restate, in your own words, Bermúdez-Otero's definition of *the theory of the cycle*. (Fully understanding what the author means by this will probably require that you read past the first page of the article!) Then present one example from the paper (i.e., *show* the example) and explain how it illustrates cyclicity. If you have trouble typing special phonetic symbols into Sakai, you can either copy-paste them from a website like the one given below, or use a capital letter/symbol (like [@] for [ə], or [S] for [ʃ]) and explain what phonetic symbol it stands for.
 - Useful web site for copy/pasting IPA phonetic symbols:
 http://www.phon.ucl.ac.uk/home/wells/ipa-unicode.htm#alfa
- (2) In your own words, explain what Bermúdez-Otero means by either *overapplication* or *underapplication*. For the term that you have explained, present one example from the paper and explain how it illustrates over- or underapplication.
- (3) According to Bermúdez-Otero, is the root a cyclic domain in English? What is the evidence for his position?
- (4) The "Russian Doll theorem" makes a basic prediction about misapplication within a word versus misapplication between words. Be able to state and explain this prediction.
- (5) [not for RR, but prepare to discuss] What are the implications of misapplication for the architecture of the grammar? What kinds of information must be somehow available between components of the mental grammar? (Hint: We're not talking about syntax here; what components of the grammar are relevant in this article?)
- (6) Read §5, looking carefully at ex (20). The terms *transderivational correspondence* and *OO-identity* will probably be new to you, but see if you can work out from the discussion and the diagram: (a) what these terms mean and (b) how they relate to cyclicity. We will discuss these points in class, and much of the second half of this paper (due next time) will make use of these ideas.