

Reading guide: Havas et al. (2012)

Havas, Viktória, Antoni Rodríguez-Fornells, and Harald Clahsen. 2012. Brain potentials for derivational morphology: An ERP study of deadjectival nominalizations in Spanish. *Brain & Language* 120: 332-344.

- (1) Section 1 of the paper reviews a number of past studies of derivational morphology from a psycholinguistic perspective, and the authors of the current paper identify a number of problems with these past studies. Give a brief summary of two specific criticisms that Havas et al. (2012) make about past studies of the psycholinguistics of derivational morphology. For at least one of these criticisms, explain whether the new experiments in the current paper have adequately addressed or avoided the problem.
- (2) What was Experiment 1 designed to investigate? What types of forms were compared? What were the results like (numerically), and how do the authors interpret these results in the context of their larger research question?
- (3) What was Experiment 2 designed to investigate? What types of forms were compared? What were the results like (numerically), and how do the authors interpret these results in the context of their larger research question?
- (4) Were the results of Experiments 1 and 2 consistent? Explain.