Structure of Japanese

- Thematic (θ) roles
- Case and sentence structure
- Verbs of giving/receiving

Background preparation:

• Santorini & Kroch (2007), "Thematic roles"

0. Course information

- Article summary is due Th Nov 2 (12:30pm)
 - Goal: Use this assignment to focus your thinking about your final-project topic
 - Review the assignment information *and* the grading criteria before beginning
 - You are encouraged to check in with me by email on your article choice (please send link or DOI)

0. Course information

- A few notes about the scope of the final project:
 If you do an experiment, view it as a pilot study
 - For a course project, <u>IRB review</u> (for human research participants) is not required
 - <u>Statistical analysis</u> of data is not required in this course, but you may run stats if you choose
 - It may be acceptable to collect data from just a few participants (or even 1) — we can discuss
 - If you decide to expand your project later, you should consider IRB review, stats consultation, and expanding your participant pool

0. Today's plan

- Review: Some important social-context factors
 - Dimensions of politeness
 - In-group/out-group
 - SSS
- Expressing the participant structure of events/states
 - Introduction to thematic roles
 - Case and sentence structure
- Verbs of giving and receiving
 - How do they relate to all of the above?

1. Review: Some key social-context factors

- Dimensions of politeness (<u>handout</u>)
 - What is involved in the **horizontal** dimension?
 - What is involved in the vertical dimension?
 - How do the concepts of in-group/out-group and SSS interact with these dimensions?

1. Review: Some key social-context factors

- Dimensions of politeness (<u>handout</u>)
 - Horizontal dimension
 - Horizontal distance to addressee (Formality of relationship or situation)
 - In-group vs. out-group relationships in referents of utterance
 - Vertical dimension is there an SSS?
 - SSS addressee? (who you are talking to)
 - SSS referent? (who you are talking about)

2. Participants in events/states

- We can consider how an event or state is expressed linguistically from two perspectives:
 - What is the role that each participant plays in the situation? —> What meaning is conveyed?
 - Thematic roles
 - What is the **position** that each participant has in the **linguistic expression** of the situation?
 - —> What **structure** is used?
 - Case and sentence structure (among other things, such as lexical choices)

- Thematic roles (also called θ -roles, theta-roles)
 - A general theory of the **roles** that entities play with respect to a given event or state
 - Intended to be universal/cross-linguistic
 - Provides a way to talk about how similar meanings can be given different linguistic encodings within or across languages
 - See the Santorini & Kroch (2007) <u>online syntax textbook</u>, supplementary section on <u>thematic roles</u>)

- We will focus on these roles for today's discussion:
 - Agent
 - Cause (how is this different from Agent?)
 - Recipient
 - Theme
- Which participant has which role in this transfer situation?









the student a strawberry the cat

- We will focus on these roles for today's discussion:
 - Agent
 - Cause (how is this different from Agent?)
 - Recipient
 - Theme
- Which participant has which role in this transfer situation?



- The same event/state can often be linguistically expressed in several ways
 - What are some ways of expressing this transfer situation in English?



 What are some ways of expressing this transfer situation in English?



The student gave the cat a strawberry.

The student gave a strawberry to the cat.

The cat received a strawberry from the student.

The cat got a strawberry from the student.

The cat was given a strawberry by the student.

- How do these sentences differ?
 - Are any of them passive sentences?
 - What other differences can we observe?

The student gave the cat a strawberry.

The student gave a strawberry to the cat.

The cat received a strawberry from the student.

The cat got a strawberry from the student.

The cat was given a strawberry by the student.

- How do these sentences differ?
 - Are any of them passive sentences?
 - What other differences can we observe?

	active/	transfer	other	
	passive	type	other	
The student gave the cat a strawberry.	active	GIVE	NP-NP	
The student gave a strawberry to the cat.	active	GIVE	NP-PP	
The cat received a strawberry from the student.	active	RECV	formal	
The cat got a strawberry from the student.	active	RECV	casual	
The cat was given a strawberry by the student.	passive	GIVE		

Passives have specific morphology and syntax:
 be (or get) + PASSIVE PARTICIPLE (in English)

- There are different structural positions in a sentence that event/state participants can take with respect to the sentence's predicate (verb, etc.)
 - In some languages, these different positions involve different morphology → case
- We will focus on these positions for today's discussion:
 - Subject
 - Direct object
 - (Indirect object see a little further below)

- Consider these sentences in English:
 - (a) Three hats fell off the shelf.
 - (b) The composer wrote a new sonata for the orchestra.
 - What is the subject of each sentence?
 - Does either sentence have a direct object?
- How can we identify <u>subject</u> and <u>direct object</u> in English?

- How to identify <u>subject</u> / <u>direct object</u> in English?
 Subject
 - Position: Occurs before the verb (except in certain questions)
 - <u>Morphology</u>: The verb agrees with the subject in person and number (residual in English)

Direct object

- Position: Occurs after the verb
- Morphology/syntax: Bare noun phrase; does not need to occur with a preposition

Does case ever distinguish <u>subject</u> / <u>direct object</u> in English?

- Does case ever distinguish <u>subject</u> / <u>direct object</u> in English?
 - Only in the pronoun system!
 I see them.
 They see me.
 - Case (quick definition for our purposes):
 morphological marking that indicates or is required by differences in sentence position

5. The structure of GIVE/RECEIVE expressions

 What is the difference between GIVE and RECEIVE, in terms of thematic roles vs. sentence positions?



The student gave a strawberry to the cat.

The cat <u>received</u> a strawberry from the student.

	agent	theme	recipient
GIVE			
RECEIVE			

5. The structure of GIVE/RECEIVE expressions

 What is the difference between GIVE and RECEIVE, in terms of thematic roles vs. sentence positions?



The student gave a strawberry to the cat.

The cat <u>received</u> a strawberry from the student.

	agent	theme	recipient
GIVE	subject	direct object	indirect object
RECEIVE	other	direct object	subject

5. The structure of GIVE/RECEIVE expressions

Summary for English

	Agent (or Cause)	Theme	Recipient
GIVE	subject	direct object	indirect object (PP _{to} or NP)
RECEIVE	PP_{from}	direct object	subject

- Can a similar classification system be extended to Japanese?
 - First: What identifies subject, direct object, indirect object in Japanese?

6. Case and sentence structure in Japanese

How could we translate this into Japanese?
 Aya saw Ken.

6. Case and sentence structure in Japanese

How could we translate this into Japanese?
 Aya saw Ken.

Aya-wa Ken-o mi-ta.

Aya-TOP Ken-? see-PAST

Aya-ga Ken-o mi-ta (koto-wa uresi-i)

Aya-? Ken-? see-past fact-top happy-npst

- Because -wa is a topic marker, it does not diagnose subjects (more on this later)

6. Case and sentence structure in Japanese

How can we identify subject / direct object?
 Aya saw Ken.

```
Aya-ga Ken-o mi-ta
Aya-nom Ken-acc see-past
```

Subject

- Morphology: -ga case suffix = nominative
 Direct object
- Morphology: -o case suffix = accusative

(<u>Position</u> is less useful — more on this later)

Group discussion

- Data set <u>Giving and receiving</u>
 - Discuss "Scenario 1"
 - How can we translate age-? moraw-?

 How do thematic roles match up with
 structural positions (case marking) here—

 GIVE Or RECEIVE?
 - How can we translate kure-? How is it different from the first two verbs?

Debriefing

Data set - Giving and receiving | "Scenario 1"

	agent	theme	recipient	type
age-				
moraw-				
kure-				

- kure- 'give' or 'receive'?
 - What is the special condition?

Debriefing

Data set - Giving and receiving | "Scenario 1"

	agent	theme	recipient	type
age-	subject	dir. object	ind. object	GIVE
moraw-	other	dir. object	subject	RECEIVE
kure-	subject	dir. object	ind. object in-group	GIVE

- kure- 'give' (not 'receive'!)
 - Recipient must be *in-group* compared to agent

Group discussion

- Data set <u>Giving and receiving</u>
 - Discuss "Scenario 2"
 - How many GIVE verbs can be used when the speaker is the source?
 - Under what circumstances are they used?
 (Think about social-context factors)
 - Which GIVE verb from Scenario 1 can we *not* use here, and why not?

Debriefing

Data set - Giving and receiving | "Scenario 2"

	agent	theme	recipient	type
age-				
sas-i-age-				
yar-				

Debriefing

Data set - Giving and receiving | "Scenario 2"

	agent	theme	recipient	type
age-	subject	dir. object	ind. object	GIVE
sas-i-age-	subject	dir. object	ind. object SSS	GIVE
yar-	subject	dir. object	ind. object equal or ↓	GIVE

- yar- 'give'
 - Recipient equal or lower in hierarchy; casual

Debriefing

- Data set Giving and receiving | "Scenario 2"
 - *kure* is a GIVE verb that cannot be used in Scenario 2
 - Recipient can never be in-group (compared to agent) if speaker is agent!

Group discussion

- Data set <u>Giving and receiving</u>
 - Discuss "Scenario 3"
 - What verb(s) can be used here when the speaker is the recipient?
 - What verb(s) can be used here when the speaker's friend is the recipient?
 - What social factors can we identify here?

Debriefing

Data set - Giving and receiving | "Scenario 3"

	agent	theme	recipient	type
kudasar-				
itadak-				

Debriefing

Data set - Giving and receiving | "Scenario 3"

	agent	theme	recipient	type
kudasar-	subject SSS	dir. object	ind. object in-group	GIVE
itadak-	other SSS	dir. object	subject in-group	RECEIVE

- *kudasar* 'give' to in-group; agent is SSS
 - Irregular verb: *kudasar-u, kudasat-ta/kuda<u>si</u>-ta, kudasa<u>i</u>mas-, <i>kudasa<u>i</u>* (imperative=polite request)

 The use of GIVE/RECEIVE verbs in Japanese is pervasive, far beyond literal expressions of giving and receiving things — Why?

- The use of GIVE/RECEIVE verbs in Japanese is pervasive, far beyond literal expressions of giving, receiving
 - G/R verbs also used as **auxiliary verbs** to show that an action was performed 'for' someone else (this is known as a *benefactive* construction)
 - Expressed much more often than in English
- How to form these constructions:
 - Main verb in -te form (same phonological rules as -ta 'PAST')
 - G/R verb follows, bearing tense/neg./etc.

 G/R constructions are also a common way of making requests or "commands"

Kite <u>kudasai</u>.

'Please come.'

Tabako-wa go-enryo <u>kudasai</u>.

'Please refrain from smoking.'

enryo: VN meaning 'restraint'

go-: Sino-Japanese honorific prefix

Misete <u>itadak</u>emasu ka?

'Can I have you show it to me?'

mise-: 'to show'

itadak+e: "potential" ('able to') form

- Which type of request is more indirect: with 'give' or 'receive'?
 - Receive-based auxiliaries used to express
 "someone did something for me/us" often!

Example from a web site
 [[FAQ: Can customers choose their own phone number?]]

お客様に ご連絡を <u>差し上げ</u>、o-kyaku-sama-ni go-renraku-o sasiage, HON-customer-HON-DAT HON-contact-Acc give.cont 選んで <u>いただく</u> ことは 可能です erande ITADAKU koto-wa kanoo desu choose-TE receive thing-TOP possible cop

Who is SSS here?