

Structure of Japanese: Methodology plan

A. Does methodology plan meet submission criteria?

	Excellent (A)	Competent (B-C)	Needs work (D-F)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> States data- vs theory-focused 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not state
Data-focused project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear justification from reference(s) Numerical comparison clear Data sources explicit Access or access plan clear Experiment items/ categories included or explained Numerical analysis concrete 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Justification not fully clear Comparison not fully clear Data sources vague Access (plan) not clear Items/categories summarized only Numerical analysis vague 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No reference given No justification No comparison No data sources No access plan Items/categories not discussed No analysis plan
Theory-focused project	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly states theoretical issue Cites two references Positions clearly explained Explains crucial argument & gives crucial data Plan for making new argument clear, explicit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Theoretical issue vague Cites only one reference Positions not clear Crucial data/argt vague Only data or only argument Plan for making new argument vague or partial 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No theor. issue No references cited No positions No data/argument No plan for new argument

B. Feedback on content of methodology plan

(These are factors to take into account when finalizing plan and carrying out project; you are welcome to confer by email or make an appointment to meet if more discussion would be helpful)