Adjective and verb inflection: Summary

- (1) Important inflectional affixes for **adjectives**
 - (a) Nonpast: /-i/
 - (b) Past: /-katta/ (advanced analysis might consider further ways to break this down)
 - (c) "Adverb" or combining form: /-ku/
 - For negation, the /-kw/ form is followed by /na-(i)/, the irregular negative of the verb /ar-/; note that A-ku and na-(i) are both words (free forms)
- (2) Important inflectional affixes for **verbs**
 - We will simply state that there is one set of suffix forms for vowel-root verbs and another set for consonant-root verbs (however, more advanced analysis might attempt to propose phonological rules that derive the two forms of each suffix from a single underlying form)

	vowel-root verb	consonant-root verb		
nonpast	/-rw/	/-w/		
negative	/-na-/ (adjective)	/-ana-/ (adjective)		
provisional ('if V')	/-reba/	/-eba/		
volitional/tentative ('let's V; maybe V')	/-joo/	/-00/		
imperative ('V!')	/-ro/	/-e/		
formal nonpast	/-mas-w/	/-i-mas-w/		
past	,	/-ta/		

(3) Verb past-tense forms: **Phonological rules** that apply to /(verb)+ta/ and related forms

Rule Domain	[t] voicing [t] becomes voiced ([d]) when it follows a voiced stop (including nasals) • Native ("Yamato") morphemes only; some complications
Rule Domain	Nasal assimilation (generalized version — not only for /N/) A nasal takes on the same place of articulation as a following stop or affricate • General (no exceptions EVER)
Rule Domain	Voiced-stop nasalization A voiced stop becomes a nasal when it precedes another voiced obstruent General (except in recent loanwords)
Rule Domain	Approximant assimilation An approximant that cannot be assigned a mora assimilates to the following C • How general is this rule? (not in recent loanwords)
Rule Domain	[i] insertion After (a) a velar stop or (b) an [s], if it cannot be assigned a mora, insert [i] • Specific to PAST /-ta/ and related forms (see below)
Rule Domain	Velar stop deletion Before the [i] that precedes the verb PAST morpheme, delete a velar stop • Specific to PAST /-ta/ and related forms (see below)

(the rule	s have to be able t	o specif	fy where to	insert t	he [i])				
(c) [i] insertion	precedes voiced-s	top nas	alization						
(otherwi	se, [g] would beco	ome nas	sal and assir	milate,	ultimately becoming *[n])				
(c) [t] voicing p	recedes voiced-sto	op nasal	lization						
/job-ta/	∕ → jo <u>bd</u> a	\rightarrow	jo <u>m</u> da	\rightarrow	[jonda] 'called'				
(d) voiced-stop	nasalization prece	edes nas	sal assimilat	tion					
/job-ta/	∕ → jobda	\rightarrow	jo <u>md</u> a	\rightarrow	[jo n da] 'called'				
 There can be trade-offs between how generally or specifically a rule is stated, and how much rule ordering is needed in an analysis — consider alternatives here? Advanced question: How does the behavior of the underlying root-final /n/, /m/ in 									
verbs intera					nave proposed? Does our				
Forms related t	to the past form w	here th	e same pho	nologi	cal rules apply				
(a) /-te/ g	erund (i.e., '(be) V	⁷ -ing'); a	also used as	conjui	nctive form ('V and (then)')				
(b) /-ta+ri/ 'c	doing V and other	things'							
(c) /-ta+ra/ c	onditional (i.e., 'il	f/when	V has occur	rred')					

tog<u>i</u>da

[toida] 'sharpened'

Rule ordering for the PAST form phonological rules

tog**d**a

 \rightarrow

(a) [t] voicing precedes [i] insertion

(b) [i] insertion precedes velar stop deletion

/to**g-t**a/ →

(4)

(5)