Numeral quantifiers and syntactic structure

This handout:

- introduces the interesting and complex numerical quantifier (NQ) system of Japanese
- provides evidence, using sentences with NQs, about the syntactic structure of "particles", contrasting case markers like *-ga* NOM and *-o* ACC with postpositions like *de* 'with, on/at, by means of' and *e* 'to, toward'
- provides evidence for how we should analyze (-)*ni* (DAT case suffix? postposition?)
- I. Introduction to numeral quantifiers (NQs)
- (1) "A numeral quantifier consists of a numeral and a classifier that agrees with the type of entity being counted." (Miyagawa 1989: 19)
- (2) Japanese has two sets of numerals
 - *hito-, huta-, mi-,...* are native ("Yamato")
 - *iti, ni, san,...* are Sino-Japanese

Classifiers tend to combine with numerals of the same lexical stratum

(3) A few examples (there are many more NQs)

(i)	hito- ri , huta- ri	1, 2 people
	san- nin , yo- nin	3 or more people
(ii)	iti -dai , ni -dai , san -dai	furniture, machines, vehicles (land/air)
(iii)	ip -pon , ni -hon , san -bon	long, thin, cylindrical objects (incl. bottles)
(iv)	is- satu , ni- satu , san- satu	books, magazines, pads of paper
(v)	hito- tu , huta- tu , mit- tu	generic counter for inanimate objects

- II. NQs and syntactic structure
- (4) Consider the following examples with NQs. (Additional constructions are also grammatical; see Watanabe (2006) for discussion, proposals, and a review of the literature.)

(5) Examples where NQs are grammatical

(a)	<u>Gakusei-ga</u>	<u>san-nin</u>	hon-o	katta.	(Miyagawa 1989: 27)
	student-NOM	3-cl	book-ACC	bought	
	'Three students b	ought books.'			
(b)	<u>Tomodati-ga</u>	<u>san-nin</u>	Tanaka-sensei-ni	atta.	(Miyagawa 1989: 29)
	friend-NOM	3-cl	Tanaka-teacher-dat	r met	
	'Three friends me	et (encountered	1, ran into) Prof. T	'anaka.'	
(c)	Hanako-ga	<u>pen-o</u>	<u>san-bon</u>	katta.	(Miyagawa 1989: 28)
	Hanako-NOM	pen-ACC	3-cl	bought	
	'Hanako bought	three pens.'			

- (6) Examples where NQs are **ungrammatical**
 - (a) * [NP [NP <u>Tomodati-no</u>] kuruma-ga] <u>san-nin</u> kosyoo-sita. (M. 1989: 30) *friend-GEN* car-NOM 3-CL broke-down
 (intended meaning: 'Three friends' cars broke down.')
 cf. [NP [NP <u>Tomodati-no</u>] kuruma-ga] <u>san-dai</u> kosyoo-sita.
 - (b) * <u>Gakusei-ga</u> hon-o <u>san-nin</u> katta. (Tsujimura 2007: 238) *student-NOM book-ACC 3-CL bought* (intended meaning: 'Three students bought books.')
- Draw syntax trees for examples from (5) and (6). Can you make a proposal for why the examples in (5) are grammatical but those in (6) are not?
- (7) More examples where NQs are ungrammatical (data adapted from Miyagawa 1989: 31)
 - (a) * Gakuseitati-ga <u>kuruma</u> de <u>san-dai</u> kita. *students-NOM car with/by 3-cL came* (intended meaning: 'Students came in (by means of) three cars.')
 - (b) * Aya-ga <u>kooen</u> e <u>huta-tu</u> itta. *Aya-NOM* park to 2-cL went (intended meaning: 'Aya went to two parks.')
- (8) Proposal: A NQ is a head of category Q ('quantifier'), which projects a QP phrase
 - —> This Q head takes a NP as its complement (and a QP can fill an NP position)
 - This proposal is a simplified version of the one in Watanabe (2006); see that for more details
 - (a) Grammatical example:
 - NP as complement to Q







- Can you use this proposal to account for the other examples in (5) vs. (6)?
- (9) What do the examples in (7) tell us about the complement of Q?
 - It must be an NP, *not a PP* (can you draw the structures to see this?)
 - This difference also supports our analysis of -ga and -o as suffixes can you see why?

(10) Now consider these examples (data adapted from Miyagawa 1989: 35, 36)

(a) Ken-ga <u>sizin</u> NI <u>huta-ri</u>atta. *Ken-NOM poet* ?? 2-cL met
'Ken met two poets.'

- (b) * Aya-ga <u>kooen</u> NI <u>huta-tu</u> itta. *Aya-NOM park* ?? 2-CL went (intended meaning: 'Aya went to two parks.')
 - These examples show that there are **two kinds of /ni**/: one is a case suffix (dative), and one is a P. (Do you see why this is? Can you draw the structures?)

(11) Thus far, all grammatical examples have had the NP immediately adjacent to the NQ. Here is an example where that is not the case. (Data from Tsujimura 2007: 239.)

<u>Hon-o</u>	gakusei-ga	<u>san-satu</u>	katta.
book-acc	students-NOM	3-cl	bought
10 1			

'Students bought three books.'

• We will return to this example after we discuss the syntactic analysis of word-orer variation in Japanese.

For further reading

- Miyagawa, Shigeru. 1989. *Structure and Case Marking in Japanese*. [See especially chapters 1 and 2.] San Diego: Academic Press.
- Tsujimura, Natsuko. 2007. Ch 5, "Syntax." *An Introduction to Japanese Linguistics*. [See especially §3.3.1, "Numeral quantifiers".] Oxford: Blackwell. (This book is on reserve for the course.)
- Watanabe, Akira. 2006. "Functional projections of nominals in Japanese: Syntax of classifiers." *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 24(1): 241-306. (This article is downloadable through a UNC connection.)