

- **Phonological rules**
- **What do we do about *systematic* exceptions to rules?**

Background:

- *Data set - Alveolar/alveo-palatal obstruents*
- *Data set - Bilabial, palatal, and glottal fricatives*

0. Today's plan

- Checking in: Phonemes and allophones
- Rules for alveolar and alveopalatal obstruents
- When allophones aren't allophones...
- ...and rules aren't rules

1. Review and context

- Are there course topics you feel you have unanswered questions about?
 - Contribute anonymous comments on the Anonymous Check-in padlet
(See link on today's "Daily syllabus" page)

1. Review and context

- **Phonology** — Sound patterns in mental grammar
- **Segmental** phonology — Consonants and vowels
- Some key terms
 - phoneme** — mental sound category (/... /)
 - allophone** — surface realization(s) of a phoneme (essentially, actual pronunciation(s); [...])
 - Every phoneme has at least one allophone; some have more than one

1. Review and context

- **Phonological rule** — Our model of how the mental grammar puts allophones in the right places

target → *change / environment*

- Write rules using **properties** (not IPA symbols)!
- Example: Rule for **voiceless vowels** in Japanese

high
vowels → voiceless / voiceless __ voiceless

Paraphrase of rule: High vowels become voiceless when they occur between voiceless sounds.

1. Review and context

How to think about this:

- Native speakers of Japanese **mentally categorize** [i] and [i̥] as “the same sound”, / i /
- Words are stored in the **mental lexicon** in terms of phonemes: / ika / ‘squid’, / ki̥ta / ‘north’
- The mental grammar uses the Voiceless Vowels rule to put [i̥] where it needs to be:
 / ika / → [ika] (conditions for rule not met)
 / ki̥ta / → [ki̥ta] (conditions met; rule applies)
- And likewise for [u] and [u̥]

2. Alveolar and alveopalatal obstruents

Data set - “[Alveolar/alveopalatal obstruents, part \(I\)](#)”

- What do we conclude for [ç] vs. [s]?
 - Are their environments predictable?
 - Should we analyze these as separate phonemes, or as allophones of the same phoneme?

2. Alveolar and alveopalatal obstruents

Data set - “[Alveolar/alveopalatal obstruents, part \(I\)](#)”

- What do we conclude for [t] vs. [tʃ] vs. [ts]?
 - Are their environments predictable?
 - Should we analyze these as separate phonemes, or as allophones of the same phoneme?

2. Alveolar and alveopalatal obstruents

Data set - “[Alveolar/alveopalatal obstruents, part \(I\)](#)”

- What **phonological rules** do we need to propose for these phonemes and allophones?

Reminder — For phoneme with multiple allophones:

- Determine the default, general allophone and use this to label the phoneme
 - Any other allophone(s) need phonological rules
 - Rules are stated in terms of properties
- Handout - “[Alv/alvpal obstr, part \(I\) | DISCUSSION](#)” (Sakai)

2. Alveolar and alveopalatal obstruents

Data set - “[Alveolar/alveopalatal obstruents, part \(I\)](#)”

- What **phonological rules** do we need to propose for these phonemes and allophones?
- Does our analysis make the kunrei romanization system make more sense?

3. Bilabial, palatal, and glottal fricatives

Work groups:

- **Data set** - “[Bilabial, palatal, and glottal fricatives](#)”
Now we'll look at Part II
 - Open this data set on your own device
(also linked from [Daily syllabus](#) page on course web site)
- **Goals:**
 - Are we seeing evidence for separate phonemes, or multiple allophones of the same phoneme?
 - How can we reconcile the analysis of Part II with the analysis of Part I?

3. Bilabial, palatal, and glottal fricatives

Debriefing:

- **Data set** - “Bilabial, palatal, and glottal fricatives”
- Some points to note:
 - Part II shows [ɸ] in **all** contexts
 - Part II consists of (recent) loanwords
 - Part II still shows /h/ → [ɸ] / _ [ʊ]
/h/ → [ç] / _ [i]
- In loanwords, there is a phoneme /ɸ/ as well as /h/
 - The /h/ still undergoes the usual rules

4. Alveolars and alveopalatals, revisited

- **Data set** - "[Alveolar/alveopalatal obstruents, pt \(II\)](#)"
 - Focus on the recent loanwords data in (5)
- Consider the same questions here:
 - Are we seeing evidence for separate phonemes, or multiple allophones of the same phoneme?
 - How can we reconcile the analysis of Part II with the analysis of Part I?

4. Alveolars and alveopalatals, revisited

- **Data set** - "[Alveolar/alveopalatal obstruents, pt \(II\)](#)"
 - Focus on the recent loanwords data in (5)
- The situation is even more interesting here!
 - Is there evidence for /s/ and /ç/ as separate phonemes in loanwords?
 - What about /t/ and /tç/ and /ts/?
 - Do /s/ and /t/ still undergo the usual rules in loanwords, the way /h/ does?
- Note: We will come back to the Sino-Japanese examples in (4) a little later

5. Implications for linguistic theory

- What are some of the differences between “native” and “loanword” items in Japanese?
 - “Loanword” items have more phonemes
 - Some phonological rules are suspended in “loanword” items
- Our approach to the phonological mental grammar for human language in general must be able to handle these facts