Linguistics 101 Fall 2020

# **Homework Assignment #6**

Due **M Oct 19** at **12:00 noon** (EDT) in Sakai "<u>Assignments</u>" | upload as .pdf file <u>only</u> *CL* is your textbook, *Contemporary Linguistics* 

## Semantics and pragmatics

- I. Entailment, paraphrase, and contradiction
- Exercise (3) from *CL* Ch 6 (p 253)

Do items (b), (d), (f) — but use the following <u>additional instructions</u>:

In class, we have learned how to *make an argument* that sentence A entails, paraphrases, or contradicts sentence B. Use these techniques to *justify* your answers.

#### II. Intension and extension

Exercise (4) from CL Ch 6 (p 253)

Do items **(b)**, **(c)**, **(d)** 

For this exercise, you are welcome to use Wikipedia to look up facts about the world. (But please cite any Wikipedia articles you use.)

## III. Presupposition

• Exercise (16) from CL Ch 6 (p 256)

Use items (b) and (c), but follow these instructions instead of the instructions in the textbook: For each of the four sentences included within items (b) and (c) in exercise (16), demonstrate whether the entire sentence does or does not presuppose the embedded sentence. [Hint: How have we have defined presupposition in class? What do we have to do to test whether those conditions are met?]

### IV. Pragmatics in the world

- Find, or create, an example of an advertisement that is trying to cause people to draw conclusions beyond what is stated. (You may include a screenshot of, or describe in words, an actual ad you see on social media or on the internet. Or you may invent your own persuasive/misleading ad.)
  - (a) Explain the **literal meaning** of the language in the ad.
  - (b) Explain what (further) conclusion the ad wants the reader to draw.
  - (c) Make an argument that (a) **does not entail** (b), using the criteria we developed in class.
  - (d) Identify at least one **conversational maxim** the ad is relying on in order to encourage the reader to draw that further conclusion, and explain how that maxim is being used.