Morphological analysis:
 What we can learn from plural nouns in English

#### 1. Review — Morpheme: sound+meaning

- A morpheme
  - shows a systematic sound-meaning correspondence
    - restandardizing → re-standard-iz(e)-ing
  - cannot be further divided without losing this sound-meaning correspondence
     cinnamon 'a spice' → no meaningful parts

### 1. Review — Morpheme: sound+meaning

- Remember: We are looking for the rules of the mental grammar
  - Always use **language data** to look for linguistic rules, even in your own language
  - Use the principle of systematic sound-meaning correspondence when looking for morphemes
- The Quizlet flash-card set "How many morphemes" gave you a chance to practice thinking about systematic sound-meaning correspondence in English

Here is another example of looking for **patterns** in language data to determine **when a morpheme is present** 

- Which of the underlined English words have a plural morpheme?
  - (a) We saw a lot of <u>cats</u>.
  - (b) We saw a lot of <u>oxen</u>.
  - (c) We saw a lot of geese.
  - (d) We saw a lot of sheep.
  - (e) We saw a lot of <u>sand</u>.

 Do any of these words include sounds that could correspond to the meaning of plural?

- (a) cats
- (b) oxen
- (c) geese
- (d) sheep
- (e) sand

 Do any of these words include sounds that could correspond to the meaning of plural?

|     |       | plural(?)              | singular |                |
|-----|-------|------------------------|----------|----------------|
| (a) | cats  | [ kæt <u>s</u> ]       | VS.      | [kæt]          |
| (b) | oxen  | [aks <mark>ən</mark> ] | VS.      | [aks]          |
| (c) | geese | [ g <u>i</u> s ]       | VS.      | [gus]          |
| (d) | sheep | [ <b>fip</b> ]         | VS.      | [ <b>fip</b> ] |
| (e) | sand  | [sænd]                 | VS.      | [sænd]         |

 Do any of these words include sounds that could correspond to the meaning of plural?

|           | plural(?)              | singulai    |              |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| (a) cats  | [ kæt <u>s</u> ]       | vs. [kæt]   | add [-s]     |
| (b) oxen  | [aks <mark>ən</mark> ] | vs. [aks]   | add [-ən]    |
| (c) geese | [ g <u>i</u> s ]       | vs. [gus]   | change vowel |
| (d) sheep | [ <b>Jip</b> ]         | vs. [ ʃip ] | no change    |
| (e) sand  | [sænd]                 | vs. [sænd]  | no change    |

Any sound-meaning correspondence for plural?

```
pluralsingular(a) cats[ kæts ]vs. [ kæt ]add [-s](b) oxen[ aksen ]vs. [ aks ]add [-en]
```

- These two nouns seem to add a sound shape that corresponds to the meaning of plural
- Many nouns add [-s] (see below for more on this) →
  this is the regular plural morpheme
- Very few nouns add [-ən] → this is a different plural morpheme that is irregular

Any sound-meaning correspondence for plural?

```
plural singular(c) geese [gis] vs. [gus] change vowel
```

- Sound structure is being added here, too: new vowel properties *front, unrounded* replace the original vowel properties *back, round*
- These sound properties are also a morpheme, although they are smaller than a speech sound!
- This kind of sound-property change is another **irregular** plural morpheme in English

Any sound-meaning correspondence for plural?

```
plural(?) singular
(d) sheep [ sip ] vs. [ sip ] no change
(e) sand [ sænd ] vs. [ sænd ] no change
```

- Here, we don't see any sound structure that differs from the singular
- But that doesn't necessarily mean that there is no plural **meaning** here!

- Can we find evidence from that/those and verbs?
  - Do sheep and sand behave like the words we know are plurals?

```
(plural)
(a) Those
         cats are
                     scary.
(a') That cat is
                     scary. (singular)
(b)
   Those oxen are
                     scary.
(C)
   Those
         geese are
                     scary.
(d)
          sheep _
                     scary.
```

(e) \_\_\_\_ sand \_\_ scary.

Can we find evidence from that/those and verbs?

```
(a) Those
         cats are
                      scary. (plural)
        cat is
                      scary. (singular)
(a') That
(d) Those sheep are scary. | plural!
(d') That sheep is scary. | singular too, hmm
(e) *Those sand are scary. | not plural!
(e') That sand is
                      scary. it's singular
(e") Those sands are scary. | here's the plural
```

• Data shows: *sheep* can be a plural; *sand* cannot (Remember that \* means 'is ungrammatical')

 Which of the underlined English words have a plural morpheme?

```
(a) We saw a lot of <u>cats</u>. [kæt-<u>s</u>]
```

- (b) We saw a lot of <u>oxen</u>. [ aks-<u>ən</u> ]
- (c) We saw a lot of geese. [gus] + front, unrounded
- (d) We saw a lot of sheep. [ $\int ip-\emptyset$ ]
- (e) We saw a lot of <u>sand</u>. (no plural morpheme here)
- If a morpheme is a systematic sound-meaning correspondence, what do we say about all this?

Sound-meaning correspondences seen here:

```
(a) meaning: plural <=> sound: [-s] (regular pl.)
```

- (b) meaning: *plural* <=> sound: [ -<u>**∍n**</u> ]
- (c) meaning: *plural* <=> sound: **front**, **unrounded**
- (d) meaning: plural <=> sound: [ Q ] (silent)
- These are four different plural morphemes, because they have different sound shapes
  - Nouns take the regular plural unless their entry in the mental lexicon specifies otherwise

#### 3. Some general points to note

- Two forms are the same morpheme only if they have the same meaning and the same sound
  - More than one morpheme can have the same meaning (English has multiple plural morphemes)
- Some morphemes are zero morphemes
  - Their sound shape contains no speech sounds (singular *sheep*, plural *sheep*+Ø)
  - But they still have a meaning: the sheep <u>are</u>... shows that a plural meaning is present in sheep+Ø

How are the plurals of these nouns pronounced?

```
cat [kat-_] bird [b_{1}] horse [howrs-_] duck [d_{1}] pig [p_{1}] pooch [p_{2}] giraffe [d_{3}] ram [_{2}] thrush [_{3}] sloth [_{3}] doe [dow-_]
```

How are the plurals of these nouns pronounced?

```
cat [kat-s] bird [b_ld-z] horse [howrs-\partial z] duck [d_lk-s] pig [p_lg-z] pooch [p_lt]-\partial z] giraffe [d_la_læf-s] ram [læm-z] thrush [\theta_l \wedge \int_{-\partial z}] sloth [slo\theta-s] doe [dow-z]
```

- They are not all the same!
- Does this mean there are three different morphemes here, too?
- → No: Look at the phonological environments

The phonological environments are predictable!

```
cat [kat-s] bird [b_1d-z] horse [howrs-_2] duck [d_1k-s] pig [p_1g-z] pooch [p_1g-_2] giraffe [d_2a_3ef-s] ram [_1em-z] thrush [_1a_1f-_2] sloth [slo_16-s] doe [dow-z]
```

- The [-əz] form occurs after [s z ∫ ʒ ʧ ʤ], which share a sound property known as sibilant (they are "noisy fricatives/affricates")
- **What property** distinguishes the [-s] and [-z] environments?

The phonological environments are predictable!

```
cat [kat-s] bird [b_1d-z] horse [howrs-_2] duck [d_1k-s] pig [p_1g-z] pooch [p_1g-_2] giraffe [d_2a_3ef-s] ram [_1em-z] thrush [_1a_1f-_2] sloth [slo_16-s] doe [dow-z]
```

- The [-əz] form occurs after [s z ∫ ʒ ʧ ʤ], which share a sound property known as sibilant (they are "noisy fricatives/affricates")
- What property distinguishes the [-s] and [-z] environments? | Voicing: voiceless vs. voiced

How are the plurals of these nouns pronounced?

```
cat [kat-s] bird [b_1d-z] horse [howrs-_2] duck [d_1k-s] pig [p_1g-z] pooch [p_1g-_2] giraffe [d_2a_3ef-s] ram [_1em-z] thrush [_1a_1f-_2] sloth [slo_16-s] doe [dow-z]
```

- They are not all the same!
- Does this mean three different morphemes?
- → No: When the phonological environments are predictable, we analyze this as one morpheme being affected by phonological rule(s)

- A morpheme shows a systematic sound-meaning correspondence
  - Both the sound and the meaning have to match if two forms are the same morpheme
  - Are -*er* in *bigger* and -*er* in *runner* the same morpheme?

- A morpheme shows a systematic sound-meaning correspondence
  - Both the sound and the meaning have to match if two forms are the same morpheme
  - Are -*er* in *bigger* and -*er* in *runner* the same morpheme?

No!

- But: We can analyze something as one single morpheme with multiple different surface forms if their phonological environments are predictable
  - This is evidence for a **phonological** rule affecting the morpheme
  - Are (plural) [-s], [-z], and [-əz] the same morpheme?

- But: We can analyze something as one single morpheme with multiple different surface forms if their phonological environments are predictable
  - This is evidence for a **phonological** rule affecting the morpheme
  - Are (plural) [-s], [-z], and [-əz] the same morpheme?

Yes!