# Pragmatics:Presupposition

#### Background reading:

• CL Ch 6, §4.1, especially "Presupposition"

### 1. Pragmatics: Language in context

- How is it possible for people to use language in ways that communicate *more* than what is *said*?
- This is part of the linguistic subfield of pragmatics, the study of language meaning in context
- Two ways that people can use language to communicate more than what is literally stated or asserted are:
  - Presupposition
  - The Cooperative Principle in conversation (next slide set)

- A special type of entailment is presupposition
  - As defined in *CL* (p 246): a presupposition is "the assumption or belief implied by the use of a particular word or structure"
- Here is a more explicit test for identifying presupposition:
  - Sentence A presupposes sentence B if <u>A entails</u>
    <u>B and the negation of A also entails B</u>
  - Careful: when "negating A," use a negation that doesn't put any special emphasis on word choice

- In this sentence pair, does the first sentence presuppose the second?
  - (1) Maria knows that Linda likes basketball.
  - (2) Linda likes basketball.

- In this sentence pair, does the first sentence presuppose the second? | yes
  - (1) Maria knows that Linda likes basketball.
  - (2) Linda likes basketball.
  - (1) entails (2)
  - The **negation** of (1), *Maria <u>doesn't know</u> that Linda likes basketball*, also entails (2)
- Reminder: We want the 'ordinary' negation of a sentence like (1), not one that puts special emphasis on the word know
  - If we emphasize know, we are objecting to the word choice, which is different from negating the sentence

- In this sentence pair, does the first sentence presuppose the second?
  - (3) Oscar assumes that Grover likes basketball.
  - (4) Grover likes basketball.

- In this sentence pair, does the first sentence presuppose the second? | no
  - (3) Oscar assumes that Grover likes basketball.
  - (4) Grover likes basketball.
  - (3) doesn't even entail (4) in the first place;
    Oscar's assumption might be incorrect!

- In these sentence pairs, does the first sentence presuppose the second?
  - (5) Linda ate the cookie.
  - (6) There was a cookie (in the relevant context).

- (7) Linda ate a cookie.
- (8) There was a cookie (in the relevant context).

- In these sentence pairs, does the first sentence presuppose the second?
  - (5) Linda ate the cookie.
  - (6) There was a cookie (in the relevant context). yes
  - Linda ate the cookie and Linda didn't eat the cookie both entail There was a cookie
  - (7) Linda ate a cookie.
  - (8) There was a cookie (in the relevant context).
  - Linda ate a cookie entails There was a cookie, but Linda didn't eat a cookie does not

#### 3. Presuppositions and indirect communication

 Presuppositions can be used to introduce information into a conversation without actually asserting that information

A: Hi! How are you? I haven't seen you in a while.

B: Things are great. I went to the game yesterday.

- Suppose person A hadn't known that there was a game yesterday. A now has a choice:
  - Accept "there was a game yesterday" as part of the conversation's common background knowledge
  - Challenge or question B's presupposition, such as by asking for more information

### 3. Presuppositions and indirect communication

 Why are so-called "loaded questions" not allowed in court?

Lawyer: Have you stopped embezzling money from your company?

Defendant: !?!

- Suppose the defendant is innocent, and never embezzled any money from the company
  - Are they able to assert their innocence by answering this question yes or no?
  - Why or why not?

# 4. Presuppositions and effective writing

- Thinking about presuppositions can make your writing more effective
  - Check your sentences to see if they are introducing presuppositions into the discussion
  - In some cases, presuppositions are harmless
  - But sometimes, dragging too much in as "background knowledge" this way will make your audience feel confused or want to challenge you