# Some factors that do not explain L1 acquisition

#### Background reading:

• *CL* Ch 9, sec 6.1–6.3

#### 1. Review — L1 acquisition key ideas

- Why do linguists use the term acquisition rather than learning for children's language development?
  - → L1 (first-language) acquisition is different from learning a skill (more on this next Monday)
- Why is child language acquisition much more connected to descriptive grammar / mental grammar than it is to prescriptive grammar?
  - → L1 acquisition is about how a child's mental grammar uses the language data in the environment to develop a language system

#### 2. What makes L1 acquisition possible?

#### Now we will consider:

- What role do the following types of parent/child interaction play in a child's language development?
  - Imitation
  - Feedback / "correction" by adults
  - Caregiver speech ("motherese")
- What is the role of general cognitive development in L1 acquisition?
- → We conclude that none of these are a general explanation for L1 acquisition

- Some people assume that children simply learn language by **imitating** adult speakers
  - Sometimes a child can imitate more accurately than they generally speak
- However, imitation is far from the only process involved in language acquisition
  - What characteristics of language are incompatible with the imitation hypothesis?
  - What often happens when a child is explicitly encouraged to imitate?

- What characteristics of language are incompatible with the imitation hypothesis? Why?
  - Creativity/productivity of language

Morphological overgeneralization and other child-specific linguistic rules

- What characteristics of language are incompatible with the imitation hypothesis? Why?
  - Creativity/productivity of language
    - How can a child be "imitating" if producing something they have never heard?
  - Morphological overgeneralization and other child-specific linguistic rules
    - goed, bringed: What would the child be "imitating"?

What often happens when child <u>asked</u> to imitate?

Parent: Why can't kitty stand up?

Child: Why kitty can't stand up? (CL, p 378)

What often happens when child <u>asked</u> to imitate?

Parent: Why can't kitty stand up?

Child: Why kitty can't stand up? (CL, p 378)

 A child is often unable to imitate linguistic structures that their mental grammar isn't yet ready for

- Some people assume that parents teach language to children by correcting their errors
  - Do children learn from direct correction by caregivers?
  - Do children learn from **recasts** (repeating child's utterance with readjustment)?

 Children often "ignore" (or, their mental grammars can't interpret) direct correction

*Child*: Want other one spoon, Daddy. (*CL*, pp 378-379)

Father: You mean, you want the other spoon.

*Child*: Yes, I want other one spoon, please Daddy.

Father: Can you say "the other spoon"?

Child: other...one...spoon.

Father: Say "other."

*Child*: other.

Father: "spoon."

*Child*: spoon.

Father: "other spoon."

*Child*: other...spoon. Now give me other one spoon?

 When are recasts used? Do they help identify nonadult-like utterances?

i. Child: Mama isn't boy; he's a girl!

Parent: That's right.

- When are recasts used? Do they help identify nonadult-like utterances?
  - i. Child: Mama isn't boy; he's a girl!

Parent: That's right.

- In this example, the parent is responding to the content of the child's utterance, not the linguistic structure
- Parents don't reliably give children cues that their utterances are not consistent with the target adult grammar

 When are recasts used? Do they help identify nonadult-like utterances?

ii. Child: Boy chasing dog.

Parent: Yes, the boy is chasing the dog.

iii. Child: The dog is barking.

Parent: Yes, the dog is barking at the kitty.

 When are recasts used? Do they help identify nonadult-like utterances?

ii. Child: Boy chasing dog.

Parent: Yes, the boy is chasing the dog.

iii. Child: The dog is barking.

Parent: Yes, the dog is barking at the kitty.

- The response in (ii) is an actual correction
- The response in (iii) is just adding information
- Would a child be able to tell the difference?

- When parents "correct" their children, it's more likely to be about the **truth** of their utterances than phonology/morphology/syntax
- Even recasts do **not** always serve as a cue for nonadult-like utterances
  - Sometimes they just expand an utterance with additional, optional information

- BUT! Is there a link between recasts and acquisition? Two experiments:
  - No? → Recasts had no effect in a study of the acquisition of determiners a, the
  - Yes? → A single recast was often enough to permit learning the irregular past tense of a novel (made-up) verb
- Is there a principled difference between these two examples of recasts that might explain the different results?

- Is there a principled difference between these two examples of recasts that might explain the different results?
  - Learning when/where to use determiners is mostly a matter for the...
  - Learning the irregular past tense of a new verb is mostly a matter for the...

- Is there a principled difference between these two examples of recasts that might explain the different results?
  - Learning when/where to use determiners is mostly a matter for the mental grammar
    - A system of rules must be developed
  - Learning the irregular past tense of a new verb is mostly a matter for the lexicon
    - Unpredictable information needs to be memorized and stored

#### 5. A role for caregiver speech?

- In many (not all!) cultures, adults use a special speech style with young children, known as caregiver speech (also called infant-directed/ child-directed speech, "motherese")
- Some characteristics of caregiver speech by middleclass English-speaking caregivers (see Table 9.22 on p 377 of CL for more)
  - slower speech
  - tendency to refer to "the here and now"
- How might these aspects of caregiver speech be helpful in acquisition?

## 5. A role for caregiver speech?

- How might these aspects of caregiver speech be helpful in acquisition?
  - Slower speech → phonological acquisition?
  - Tendency to refer to "the here and now"
    → semantic acquisition?
- But, many aspects of caregiver speech do not seem to affect acquisition
  - We saw earlier that relative frequency of grammatical morphemes (inflectional affixes and function words) does not predict acquisition order

# 6. Acquisition is not just cognitive development

- Another factor that does not fully explain L1
  acquisition is general cognitive development
- There are some possible correlations
- But: Evidence that language and cognitive development are at least partly independent
  - Language can be intact while cognition is impaired
  - Cognitive function can be normal while mental grammar is impaired

#### 7. So...What makes L1 acquisition possible?

- None of these influences can account for L1 acquisition:
  - Imitation
  - Feedback / "correction" by adults
  - Caregiver speech
  - General cognitive development
- Next time, we will consider evidence for a critical period in L1 acquisition: Language acquisition as a biological, instinctual process?