- Genetically related languages
- Comparative reconstruction

Background reading:

- *CL* Ch 8, sec 1
- *CL* Ch 8, §7 (especially §7.1, §7.2)

Why might two languages have words (morphemes)
 that are similar in sound and meaning?

English	French
escargot	escargot
chair	chaise
six [sɪks]	six [sis]
English dog [dɔg]	Mbabaram [dɔk]

Why might two languages have words (morphemes)
 that are similar in sound and meaning?

English	French	
escargot	escargot	borrowing
chair	chaise	(old) borrowing
six [siks]	six [sis]	common ancestor!
English dog [dog]	Mbabaram [dɔk]	chance resemblance
English	(many lgs)	

- When two languages are descended from a common ancestor language, linguists say that they are genetically related
 - This doesn't have anything to do with DNA or human biology — it's a metaphor
- For example, we can say that Spanish, French, and Italian are genetically related because they all descend from a common ancestor language
 - This does not mean that all current speakers of these languages are closely genetically related to each other in the biological sense!

- People love lists of words from different languages that resemble each other...
- But finding "similar" words is not proof of genetic relationship between languages
 - Borrowing? (from each other/both from a third lg)
 - Chance resemblance?
 - Sound symbolism?
- \rightarrow Which English word is **genetically related** to the Greek word $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ [ðeka] 'ten': **decade**, or **ten**?

• Etymologies (word origins) from the *Oxford English Dictionary*:

decade < French *decade* ..., < Latin *decas, decad-em,* < Greek δεκάς, δεκάδα, a group of ten, < δέκα ten

ten < Old English *tien*, -e, Anglian *tén*, -e, Common Germanic, = Old Low German *tehan, ... Old Saxon tehan (tîan, tein), ... < Old Germanic *teχan, beside *teχun [<] pre-Germanic *'dekm

- What these eymologies are telling us
 - decade: A Greek word (δεκάδα) was borrowed into Latin, then changed over time into French, and then was borrowed into English
 - ten: A Pre-Germanic word (*'dekm) changed into an Old Germanic word that changed into a word in descendant languages, including Old English
- \rightarrow Which English word is **genetically related** to the Greek word $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ [ðeka] 'ten': **decade**, or **ten**?

- What these eymologies are telling us
 - decade: A Greek word (δεκάδα) was borrowed into Latin, then changed over time into French, and then was borrowed into English
 - ten: A Pre-Germanic word (*'dekm) changed into an Old Germanic word that changed into a word in descendant languages, including Old English gradually changed over time
- \rightarrow Which English word is **genetically related** to the Greek word $\delta \varepsilon \kappa \alpha$ [ðeka] 'ten': **decade**, or **ten**?
 - The less-similar-looking one is related!

- Genetically related languages: "languages descended [through processes of language change] from a common parent" (CL, p 329)
 - I.e., language variation taken "to the extreme" varieties that diverge until they **cease to be mutually intelligible**
- We've just seen: genetically related words/ morphemes may look *less* alike than borrowings
- So how do we identify genetic relationships?
 - Look for systematic sound correspondences that recur in many morphemes

Observe the following (forms given in orthography)

Sanskrit	Greek	Latin	Gothic	English
pad-	pod-	ped-	fōtus	foot
pra-	pro-	pro-	fra-	fro
nápāt- 'descendant'		nepōs 'nephew, grandson'	(OHG nefo)	ne[f]ew (OE nefa)
trī-/tráyas	treĩs/tría	trēs	<mark>þ</mark> rija	[0]ree
tv-am	tū (Doric)	tv-am	þu	thou (formerly [θ])
śatám	(he-)katón	[k]entum	hunda (pl.)	hundred
dáśa	déka	de[k]em	taíhun [tɛxun]	ten

What are the systematic patterns?

Sound correspondences (part of Grimm's Law)

Sanskrit	Greek	Latin	Gothic	English
p	p	p	f	f
t	t	t	θ	θ
ſ	k	k	h (x)	h

- Can we state any generalizations here?
 - Sanskrit/Greek/Latin ___ : Germanic ___
 (Sanskrit [ʃ] is the result of a separate sound change)

Sound correspondences (part of Grimm's Law)

Sanskrit	Greek	Latin	Gothic	English
p	p	p	f	f
t	t	t	θ	θ
ſ	k	k	h (x)	h

- Can we state any generalizations here?
 - S/Gk/L voiceless stops: Gmc voiceless fricatives (Sanskrit [ʃ] is the result of a separate sound change)
- Be able to discuss a simple example like this:
 Which sounds/sound classes correspond?

Grimm's Law: Sound changes in Germanic

Proto-Indo-European	>	Germanic
[p]		[f]
[t]		[0]
[k]		[X] (>[h])
[b]		[p]
[d]		[t]
[g]		[k]
[b ^h]		[b]
[d ^h]		[d]
[g ^h]		[g]

 What English word is genetically related to Latin card(ium) [kard-]?

Grimm's Law: Sound changes in Germanic

Proto-Indo-European	>	Germanic
[p]		[f]
[t]		[θ]
[k]		[X] (>[h])
[b]		[p]
[d]		[t]
[g]		[k]
[bh]		[b]
[d ^h]		[d]
[g ^h]		[g]

What English word is genetically related to
 Latin card(ium) [kard-]? | heart [haut] (cardio is borrowed!)

3. The Indo-European language family

- Once we find systematic sound correspondences, they can be used to:
 - identify genetically related languages
 - develop hypotheses about the structure of the ancestor language
- One very successful example of this technique: The Indo-European language family
 - Here are some images of the Indo-European family tree: From <u>SDSU</u> | From <u>Wikipedia</u>

3. The Indo-European language family

FYI only...

- Most languages of Europe are Indo-European
 - Exception: Basque no known relatives
 - Exception: Finnish, Estonian, Hungarian, Saami —
 belong to the <u>Finno-Ugric</u> family (which may also be
 related to the <u>Turkic</u> languages, but this is controversial)
- Some of the languages of South Asia are Indo-European: Hindi, Urdu, Bengali/Bangla, Gujarati, Marathi...
 - Others belong to the <u>Dravidian</u> family: Tamil, Malayalam, Telugu, Kannada...

4. Reconstructing a proto-language

- From a group of genetically related languages, we can use the systematic correspondences and our understanding of language change to reconstruct the ancestor language
- This technique is comparative reconstruction
 - It can be done with any aspect of linguistic structure: phonology, morphology, syntax, semantics, ...
- A reconstructed ancestor language is called a protolanguage

4. Reconstructing a proto-language

Example:

The ancestor consonant of the [p]:[p]:[f]:[f] correspondence set shown above has been reconstructed as *[p] for Proto-Indo-European

• Warning! In historical linguistics *only*, * means reconstructed/hypothetical, *not* ungrammatical

5. Comparative reconstruction: Examples

Can we reconstruct these Middle Chinese forms?

	Mandarin	Hakka	Reconstructed
gloss	(Beijing)	(Huizhou)	proto-form
'spicy hot'	[la]	[lat]	
'basket'	[lan]	[lam]	
`lazy'	[lan]	[lan]	
`fear'	[pa]	[pa]	

5. Comparative reconstruction: Example

Can we reconstruct these Middle Chinese forms?

	Mandarin	Hakka	Reconstructed
gloss	(Beijing)	(Huizhou)	proto-form
'spicy hot'	[la]	[lat]	*[lat]
'basket'	[lan]	[lam]	*[lam]
`lazy'	[lan]	[lan]	*[lan]
`fear'	[pa]	[pa]	*[pa]

- 'spicy hot' is *[lat] because if *[la], we can't explain why Hakka 'fear' is [pa] and not [pat]
- 'basket' is *[lam] because if *[lan], we can't explain why Hakka 'lazy' is [lan] and not [lam]

5. Comparative reconstruction: Example

Can we reconstruct these Middle Chinese forms?

	Mandarin	Hakka	Reconstructed
gloss	(Beijing)	(Huizhou)	proto-form
'spicy hot'	[la]	[lat]	*[lat]
'basket'	[lan]	[lam]	*[lam]
`lazy'	[lan]	[lan]	*[lan]
`fear'	[pa]	[pa]	*[pa]

- To think about: What sound-change rules would we need, assuming our proposed reconstructions?
 - What sounds, if any, changed from the proto-language to Mandarin? From the proto-language to Hakka?

5. Comparative reconstruction: Examples

 Polynesian cognate sets (Crowley 1992) — a wellaccepted case of related languages

Tongan	Samoan	Rarotongan	Rarotongan Hawai'ian Māori		gloss
kafa	?afa	ka?a	Pa Paha kaha		'strong'
?ufi	ufi	u?i	uhi uhi		'yam'
afi	afi	aʔi	ahi	ahi	'fire'
faa	faa	?aa	haa	фаа	'four'
feke	fe?e	7eke	he?e	феке	'octopus'

What are the sound correspondences?

5. Comparative reconstruction: Examples

Polynesian sound correspondences

Tong	Sam	Rar	Haw	Māori	consonant sound correspondences
kafa	?afa	ka?a	?aha	kaha	k:?:k:?:k f:f:?:h:h
?ufi	ufi	u?i	uhi	uhi	7:Ø:Ø:Ø:Ø f:f:7:h:h
afi	afi	a?i	ahi	ahi	Ø:Ø:Ø:Ø:Ø f:f:7:h:h
faa	faa	?aa	haa	фаа	f:f:7:h:ф
feke	fe?e	?eke	he?e	феке	f:f:7:h:ф

How to reconstruct 'yam' — *[?ufi] or *[ufi]? Why?

6. What not to do

- The key to a plausible reconstruction is to ensure that the languages actually are genetically related
- This means that relationships between the (proposed) related forms in the languages are systematic
- It is important to exclude borrowings when doing comparative reconstruction (why?)
 - This can be very difficult to do, in practice

7. Historical linguistics: Implications

Techniques of historical linguistics allow us to:

- Identify related languages
 - This may in turn shed light on prehistoric population movements, etc.
- Reconstruct extinct languages
- Test hypotheses about mental grammar
 - Do our current models of mental grammar correctly predict the ways languages change?
- Test hypotheses about language acquisition and socially motivated language variation