

REFERENCES

- Baayen, R., R. Piepenbrock, and L. Gulikers (1995). *The CELEX lexical database (CD-ROM)*. Philadelphia: Linguistic Data Consortium.
- Berent, I., and J. Shimron (1997). The representation of Hebrew words: evidence from the Obligatory Contour Principle. *Cognition* 64:39–72.
- Dupoux, E., K. Kakehi, Y. Hirose, C. Pallier, and J. Mehler (1999). Epenthetic vowels in Japanese: a perceptual illusion? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance* 35(6): 1568–1578.
- Frisch, S. A., and B. Zawaydeh (2001). The psychological reality of OCP-Place in Arabic. *Language* 77:91–106.
- Frisch, S. A., J. B. Pierrehumbert, and M. B. Broe (2004). Similarity avoidance and the OCP. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 22:179–228.
- Hallé, P. A., J. Segui, U. Frauenfelder, and C. Meunier (1998). Processing of illegal consonant clusters: a case of perceptual assimilation? *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance* 24(2): 592–608.
- Massaro, D. W., and M. Cohen (1983). Phonological context in speech perception. *Perception and Psychophysics* 34:338–348.
- McCarthy, J. J. (1994). The phonetics and phonology of Semitic pharyngeals. In: P. Keating (ed.), *Papers in Laboratory Phonology III*, pp. 191–283. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Moreton, E. (2002). Structural constraints in the perception of English stop-sonorant clusters. *Cognition* 84:55–71.
- Padgett, J. (1991). *Structure in Feature Geometry*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.
- Pitt, M. A. (1998). Phonological processes and the perception of phonotactically illegal consonant clusters. *Perception and Psychophysics* 60:941–951.
- Yip, M. (1989). Feature Geometry and co-occurrence restrictions. *Phonology* 6:349–374.

Celex EPW.CD counts (by type, by token). CC=syllable-internal, C-C=syllable-medial, according to Celex's syllabification. P and H are syllabic [l] and [n]. The Celex corpus contains approximately 18 million words, mostly written.

		l		w		
t	t-l			tw	338	22090
	t-l	805	50404	t-w	357	2451
	tP	1040	50328			
p	pl	2786	105774	pw	6	22
	p-l	117	3743	p-w	84	703
	pP	391	45030			
d	d-l			dw	99	1003
	d-l	555	17035	d-w	488	1617
	dP	1101	12333			
b	bl	2217	71081	bw		
	b-l	57	140	b-w	14	182
	bP	1756	115351			
n	n-l			nw	6	20
	n-l	395	42752	n-w	231	3303
	nP	416	35038			
	Hl	3	23	Hw		
m	ml			mw	1	1
	m-l	145	5197	m-w	56	7404
	mP	106	8979			

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Facilities for this research were provided by the Departments of Linguistics at the University of Southern California and the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill. Thanks are due to Dani Byrd, Jennifer Smith, Chris Wiesen, and Rachel Walker, as well as to the participants in this experiment.